

Subject: Genetic Testing		<b>Original Effective Date:</b> 5/22/08	
Guidance Number:	MCG-051	Revision Date(s):	12/3/09, 4/27/11, 12/14/11, 6/29/12, 8/28/13, 6/25/14
Medical Coverage Guida Approval Date: 6/25/14	nce		

#### PREFACE

This Medical Guidance is intended to facilitate the Utilization Management process. It expresses Molina's determination as to whether certain services or supplies are medically necessary, experimental, investigational, or cosmetic for purposes of determining appropriateness of payment. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that this service or supply is covered (i.e., will be paid for by Molina) for a particular member. The member's benefit plan determines coverage. Each benefit plan defines which services are covered, which are excluded, and which are subject to dollar caps or other limits. Members and their providers will need to consult the member's benefit plan to determine if there are any exclusion's or other benefit plan will govern. In addition, coverage may be mandated by applicable legal requirements of a State, the Federal government or CMS for Medicare and Medicaid members. CMS's Coverage Database can be found on the following website: <a href="http://www.cms.hhs.gov/center/coverage.asp">http://www.cms.hhs.gov/center/coverage.asp</a>.

#### **FDA INDICATIONS**

There are three levels of oversight of genetic and genomic tests in the United States: FDA regulation of medical devices; Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments of 1988 (CLIA) regulation of laboratories that develop laboratory-developed tests (LDTs) and, in some cases (i.e., New York State and Washington State), state regulation of clinical laboratories. LDTs are defined by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) as tests that are developed by a single laboratory for use only in that laboratory.<sup>59</sup>

#### **CENTERS FOR MEDICARE AND MEDICAID SERVICES (CMS)**

The coverage directive(s) and criteria from an existing National Coverage Determination (NCD) or Local Coverage Determination (LCD) will supersede the contents of this Molina medical coverage guidance (MCG) document and provide the directive for all Medicare members. The directives from this MCG document may be followed if there are no available NCD or LCD documents available and outlined below.

There are no National Coverage Determinations available for Genetic testing. Local coverage determinations are available for guidance on general genetic testing and individual and specific genetic tests. <sup>56</sup>

Please search the Medicare Local Coverage Determination (LCD) search website for coverage criteria that may be available in your specific region at: <u>http://www.cms.gov/mcd/search.asp?clickon=search</u>



### **POLICY STATEMENT**

Effective 7/1/14 MHI is using the CareCore DNA Direct Criteria for evaluation of all genetic testing requests. MCG-051 must be applied to all requests and all genetic test requests are to be referred to the Medical Director if the member meets the criteria outlined below.

Genetic testing may be authorized when *all* of the following criteria are met: [ALL]

- □ The genetic test must be ordered by board certified physician within the scope of their practice or a board certified MD medical geneticist<sup>33;</sup> *and*
- □ Pre-and post- test genetic counseling is performed by a board-certified MD medical geneticist or certified genetic counselor <sup>12 14 15 53 60</sup>; *and*
- Documented key risk factors that suggest a genetic disorder is present: [ONE];
  - o clinical features indicative of a condition or disease; or
  - high risk of inheriting the disease based upon personal history, family history, documentation of a genetic mutation and/or ethnic background;<sup>11,12,13,35</sup> or
  - following history, physical examination, pedigree analysis and completion of conventional diagnostic testing, a definitive diagnosis remains uncertain and a hereditary diagnosis is suspected;<sup>11,12,13</sup> and
- □ Carrier or Predictive testing requires documentation confirming that a causative genetic change has been identified in an affected family member; *and*

*Note:* Genetic testing of an asymptomatic person in a family with several relatives affected with disease is considered predictive genetic testing. Targeted predictive genetic testing of individual diseases is appropriate when the specific indications for each test are met.

Documentation is provided that supports test results will be used to significantly alter the management or treatment of the disease (e.g. surgery, the extent of surgery, a change in surveillance, hormonal manipulation, or a change from standard therapeutic or adjuvant chemotherapy).;<sup>11,12,13,29</sup>

## OR

- Scientific literature providing evidence of the following:<sup>46</sup>[ALL]
  - ♦ > 3 published studies from widely recognized peer reviewed scientific journals that clearly establish the phenotype/genotype relationship of the condition; <sup>46</sup> **OR**
  - ♦ ≥1 from widely recognized peer reviewed scientific journals published study on clinical validity with high accuracy that a test predicts the presence or absence of a clinical predisposition or condition (e.g., prediction of overall survival or recurrence-free survival)<sup>46</sup>



# AND

- There is a clinically significant impact from a positive or negative test result on patient care/an effective treatment option, or other measurable clinical benefit is available following the test results to significantly improve health-related outcomes<sup>.46</sup> Conditions with no available treatment or prevention options such as Alzheimer disease must be evaluated by a Medical Director for authorization.
- *Note:* Refer to the 'Genetic Testing Characteristics' section under the 'General Information' section of this document for additional information regarding evaluation of testing results

# AND

□ The clinical testing laboratory must be accredited by CLIA, the State and/or other applicable accrediting agencies <sup>59</sup>

**CONTINUATION OF THERAPY** 

- $\Box$  Testing is allowed once during the member's lifetime per disease for diagnostic purposes <sup>1,23</sup>
- □ A second genetic test may be authorized in either of the following circumstances: **[ONE]** 
  - The genetic test identifies other mutations not previously tested and is considered to be different from the original test;

### OR

• If the genetic test measures gene expressions or identifies somatic mutations which can vary over time, only when clinically appropriate

#### **COVERAGE EXCLUSIONS**

Genetic testing will **not** be authorized under the following circumstances:

- □ Criteria other than those outlined under the "Coverage Criteria" section above
- □ Testing for conditions or purposes where the test results would not directly influence the management or treatment of the disease or condition (e.g., disease without known treatment). <sup>11,12,13,29</sup> Refer to the corporate/plan experimental and investigational policy as appropriate.
- □ Testing for informational purposes or management of a member's family member
- $\Box$  Predictive or Carrier testing in children under the age of 18 <sup>21 51 62</sup>



- Predictive or Carrier Testing without documentation supporting that a causative genetic change has been identified in an affected family member <sup>46 62</sup>
- $\square$  Minors under the age of 18 for adult onset conditions that have no preventative or therapeutic options <sup>9</sup>
- □ Population screening in individuals without a personal or family history, with the exception of state mandated or required newborn screening or prenatal screening for certain conditions<sup>11,12,13</sup>
- $\Box$  More than one lifetime test for each disease or condition<sup>1,23</sup>
- Whole Exome or Genome Sequencing to identify genetic variants in patients not diagnosed by conventional diagnostic and genetic testing methods due to insufficient evidence available to assess the use of whole exome or genome sequencing for clinical purposes <sup>48 49 50 61 64 65</sup>

*Note: Exceptions for more than one lifetime test are outlined in the above 'Continuation of Therapy' section.* 

## DESCRIPTION OF PROCEDURE/SERVICE/PHARMACEUTICAL

Genetic testing is defined by the National Human Genome Research Institute as "The analysis of human DNA, RNA, chromosomes, proteins, and certain metabolites in order to detect heritable disease-related genotypes, mutations, phenotypes, or karyotypes for clinical purposes. Such purposes include predicting risk of disease, identifying carriers, establishing prenatal and clinical diagnosis or prognosis. Prenatal, newborn, and carrier screening, as well as testing in high risk families, are included. Tests for metabolites are covered only when they are undertaken with high probability that an excess or deficiency of the metabolite indicates the presence of heritable mutations in single genes. Tests conducted purely for research are excluded from the definition, as are tests for somatic (as opposed to heritable) mutations, and testing for forensic purposes".

## Whole Exome and Genome Sequencing

According to the Hayes GTE Overview Whole Exome Sequencing for rare genetic conditions and cancer diagnosis and treatment; sequencing of the human exome has been proposed to identify genetic variants in patients not diagnosed by conventional diagnostic and genetic testing methods. Whole exome sequencing is predicted to have advantages over whole genome sequencing, including fewer uninterpretable results, faster turnaround times, and lower cost. Limitations of whole exome sequencing include incomplete capture of all coding regions, incomplete sequencing coverage of some regions, the inability to detect certain variants ( such as large rearrangements, copy number variants [CNVs], mitochondrial genome variants, and trinucleotide repeats etc.), and the identification of variants of unknown clinical significance. At this time there is currently insufficient evidence to assess the use of this technology in clinical patient care. <sup>48 49 50</sup>



# Genetic Testing Access to Test Information 35 45

The Genetic Testing Registry (GTR) website is a resource for medical genetic information that lists available genetic tests for thousands of conditions, with a directory of certified clinical laboratories specializing in genetic testing (chose "tests" from the homepage http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gtr/). As of June 2013, the site lists over 2700 diseases for which a genetic basis has been established and for which clinical genetic testing is available.

Gene Tests <sup>63</sup> is an alternative resource for genetic testing information. Gene Tests is a medical genetics information resource developed for physicians, genetic counselors, other healthcare providers, and researchers. Access to the website at this link: <u>http://www.genetests.org/</u>

CODING INFORMATION		
СРТ	Description	
	No specific codes for this document	

HCPCS	Description
	No specific codes for this document

ICD-9 & ICD-10CM	Description
	No specific Diagnoses for this document

### **RESOURCE REFERENCES**

- Genetic Testing Task Force. Promoting Safe and Effective Genetic Testing in the United States. National Human Genome Research Institute. October 2005, Updated April, 2006. Accessed at: <u>http://www.genome.gov/10002405</u>
- National Institutes of Health. Genetic Testing: How it is used for healthcare, fact sheet. February 14, 2011, Updated March 29, 2013. Accessed at: http://report.nih.gov/NIHfactsheets/ViewFactSheet.aspx?csid=43&key=G#G
- 3. Dorval M, Patenaude AF, Schneider KA et al. Anticipated versus actual emotional reactions to disclosure of results of genetic tests or cancer susceptibility: Findings from p53 and BRCA1 testing programs. Journal of Clinical Oncology. May, 2000 18(10):2135-2142.
- 4. Heshka JT, Palleschi C, Howley H et al. A systematic review of perceived risks, psychological and behavioral impacts of genetic testing. January, 2008. Genetics in Medicine. 10(1):19-32.
- 5. Gritz ER, Peterson SK, Vernon SW, et al. Psychological impact of genetic testing for hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer. Journal Clinical Oncology 2005;23:1902-1910.
- 6. Watson M, Foster C, Feles R. et al. Psychosocial impact of breast/ovarian (BRCA1/2) cancer-predictive genetic testing in a UK multi-centre clinical cohort. British Journal of Cancer. 2004;91:1787-1794.



- 7. Romero LJ, Garry PJ, Schuyler M. et al. Emotional responses to APO E genotype disclosure for Alzheimer disease. Journal of Genetic Counseling 2005;14:141-150.
- Wainberg S and Husted J. Utilization of screening and preventive surgery among unaffected carriers of BRCA1 or BRCA2 gene mutation. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers & Prevention December 2004;13(12).
- 9. Borry P, Fryns JP, Schotsman. Carrier testing in minors: a systematic review of guidelines and position papers. Europena Journal of Human Genetics. (2006) 14:133-138.
- Green JM, Hewison J, Bekker HL. Et al. Psychosocial aspects of genetic screening of pregnant women and newborns: a systematic review. Health Technology Assessment NHS R&D HTA Programs. 2004;8(33). Accessed at: <u>http://www.hta.ac.uk/fullmono/mon833.pdf</u>
- 11. Nelsen HD, Huffman L, Rongwei Fu. and Harris EL. Genetic risk assessment and BRCA mutation testing for breast and ovarian cancer susceptibility: systematic evidence review for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Annals of Internal Medicine. September, 2005;143:362-379.
- 12. American College of Medical Genetics Professional Practice Guidelines Committee. Genetic susceptibility to breast and ovarian cancer: assessment, counseling, and testing guidelines executive summary.1999. Accessed at: <u>http://www.health.state.ny.us/</u>
- 13. American Society of Clinical Oncology Policy Statement Update: Genetic Testing for Cancer Susceptibility Journal of Clinical Oncology February 10, 2010; 28(5): 893-901. Accessed at: <u>http://jco.ascopubs.org/content/28/5/893.full.pdf+html</u>
- 14. Meiser B, Halliday JL. What is the impact of genetic counseling in women with an increased risk of developing hereditary breast cancer? A meta-analysis review. Soc Sci Medicine 2002;54:1463-70.
- 15. Borry P, Stultiens L, Nys H. et al. Presymptomatic and predictive genetic testing in minors: a systematic review of guidelines and position papers. Clinical Genetics 2006: 70: 374-381.
- 16. New York State Department of Health. Genetic testing and screening in the age of Genomic medicine. October 2001. Accessed at:

http://www.health.ny.gov/regulations/task\_force/reports\_publications/screening.htm

U.S. National Library of Medicine. What is a gene mutation and how do mutations occur? March 13, 2011. Updated July 2013. Accessed at:

http://ghr.nlm.nih.gov/handbook/mutationsanddisorders/genemutation

- European Society of Human genetics. Provision of genetic services in Europe-current practices and issues. 2003. Accessed at: <u>http://www.nature.com/ejhg/journal/v11/n2s/pdf/5201110a.pdf</u>
- The American Society of Human Genetics Board of Directors and the American College of Medical Genetics Board of Directors. Points to consider: ethical, legal, and psychosocial implications of genetic testing in children and adolescents. American Journal of Human genetics. 1995;57:1233-1241. (Retired 2013).
- 20. Canadian Pediatric Society. Guidelines for genetic testing of healthy children. Reaffirmed Jan 2011. Accessed at: <u>http://www.cps.ca/ENGLISH/statements/B/b03-01.htm</u>
- 21. American Academy of Pediatrics. Ethical Issues With Genetic Testing in Pediatrics. Jun 2001. Reaffirmed May 1, 2009. Accessed at: <u>http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/site/aappolicy/index.xhtml</u>
- 22. Scheuner MT, Sieverding P, Shekelle PG. Delivery of genomic medicine for common chronic adult diseases. JAMA March, 2008. 299(11):1320-1334



- Report of the Secretary's Advisory Committee on Genetics, Health, and Society. Coverage and Reimbursement of Genetic tests and services. Department of Health and Human Services. February, 2006. Accessed at: <u>http://oba.od.nih.gov/oba/sacghs/reports/CR\_report.pdf</u>
- 24. Lerman C, Shields A. Genetic testing for cancer susceptibility: the promise and the pitfalls. National Rev Cancer; 2004. 4(3):235-241
- 25. Janssens A, Gwinn M, Bradley L et al. A critical appraisal of the scientific basis of commercial genomic profiles used to assess health risks and personalize health interventions. The American Journal of Human Genetics. March, 2008. 82;593-599.
- 26. Grosse SD and Khoury MJ. What is the clinical utility of testing? Genetics in Medicine. July, 2006;8(7):448-450
- 27. Pagon, RA, Firth HV and Tepas E. Internet Resources in medical genetics. September, 2010 In: UpToDate, Rose, BD (Ed), UpToDate, Waltham, MA 2010.
- 28. Attia J, Ioannidis A, Thakkinstian A, et al. How to use an article about genetic association: B: Are the results of the study valid? JAMA January, 2009;301(2):191-197.
- 29. Attia J, Ioannidis A, Thakkinstian; et al. How to use an article about genetic association: C: What are the results and will they help me in caring for my patients? JAMA 2009;301(3):304-308.
- 30. Solomon BD, Jack BW, Feero WG. The clinical content of preconception care: genetics and genomics. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology. Supplement to December, 2008.S340-S344.
- 31. Calzone K, Soballe PW. Genetic Testing for cancer r susceptibility. Surgical Clinics of North America May, 2008. 705-721.
- 32. Valente EM, Ferraris A, Dallapiccola B. Genetic testing for pediatric neurological disorders. The Lancet. December, 2008;7:1113-26.
- 33. American College of Medical Genetics. ACMG Statement on Direct-to-Consumer Genetic Testing. Genetics in Medicine. January/February 2004;6(1):60.
- 34. Evaluation of Genomic Applications in Practice and Prevention (EGAPP) Working Group. Recommendations from the EGAPP Working Group: can tumor gene expression profiling improve outcomes in patients with breast cancer? Genetics in Medicine. January, 2009;11(1):66-73.
- 35. Raby BA, Kohlmann W, Venne V. Genetic counseling and testing. In: UpToDate, Rose, BD (Ed), UpToDate, Waltham, MA September, 2010. Updated June 2013.
- 36. The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. ACOG Committee Opinion. Ethical Issues in genetic testing. June, 2008. Number 48. Accessed at: <u>http://www.acog.org/</u>
- Laberge AM, Burke W. Clinical and public health implications of emerging genetic technologies. Semin Nephrology March 2010;30(2):185-194
- 38. Berg AO, Baird MA, Botkin JR et al. National Institutes of Health State-of-the Science Conference Statement: Family History and Improving Health. Ann Internal Medicine 2009;151:872.
- 39. Claassen L, Henneman L, Janssens AC et al., Using family history information to promote healthy lifestyles and prevent diseases; a discussion of the evidence. BMC Public Health 2010;10:248.
- 40. Wilson BJ, Quereshi N, Santaguida P et al. Systematic review: family history in risk assessment for common diseases. Ann Intern Med 2009; 151:878.
- 41. Scheuner MT, Wang S, Raffel LJ et al. Family history: a comprehensive genetic risk assessment method for chronic conditions of adulthood. Am Journal of Med Genetics 1997;71:315



- 42. Frezzo TM, Rubinstein WS, Dunham D et al. The genetic family history as a risk assessment tool in internal medicine. Genetic Med 2003; 5:84.
- 43. Rich, EC, Burke, W, Heaton, CJ, et al. Reconsidering the family history in primary care. Journal of General Internal Medicine 2004; 19:273-280 and SCREEN: Taking a Family for Familial Disease. At American Academy of Family Physicians http://www.aafp.org. (Accessed July 30, 2007).
- 44. Whelan AJ, Ball S Best L et al. Genetic red flags: clues to thinking genetically in primary care practice. Primary Care 2004; 31:497.
- 45. Genetic Testing Registry. [website] National Center for Biotechnology Information, U.S. National Library of Medicine. Accessed at: <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gtr/</u>
- 46. Allingham-Hawkins D. Hayes GTE Program: Evidence based assessment of genetic tests. Hayes, Inc. Hayes Webinar presentation. February, 2011.
- 47. Advance Medical Review. Policy reviewed by MD Board certified in Clinical Genetics, Clinical Molecular Genetics, Pathology Anatomic and Clinical. AMR tracking Number: 252806. 4/14/2011
- 48. Hayes GTE Synopsis. Whole Exome Sequencing. Hayes Inc. Lansdale, PA. Feb 27, 2012

# 2013 Update

- 49. Hayes GTE Overview. Whole Exome Sequencing for Non-Cancer Indications. Hayes Inc. Lansdale, PA. March 7, 2013
- 50. Hayes GTE Overview. Whole Exome Sequencing for Cancer Indications. Hayes Inc. Lansdale, PA. July 22, 2013
- 51. American Academy of Pediatrics. Ethical and Policy Issues in Genetic Testing and Screening of Children. Committee on bioethics, committee on genetics, and the american college of medical genetics and, genomics social, ethical, and legal issues committee. Pediatrics Vol. 131 No. 3 March 1, 2013: pages 620 -622. Accessed at: <u>http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/131/3/620.full?sid=98600ef2-534e-44f0-a2f9-4628a139e5bc</u>
- 52. The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists Committee on Genetics. Personalized Genomic Testing for Disease Risk. Number 527, June 2012. Accessed at: <u>http://www.acog.org/Resources And Publications/Committee Opinions/Committee on Genetics/Personalized Genomic Testing for Disease Risk</u>
- 53. National Society of Genetic Counselors Practice Guidelines. Feb 2012. Accessed at: http://www.nsgc.org/Publications/PracticeGuidelines/tabid/313/Default.aspx
- 54. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Genomic Testing. April 2013. Accessed at: http://www.cdc.gov/genomics/gtesting/
- 55. National Human Genome Research Institute. Genetic Testing. June 7, 2013. Accessed at: <u>http://www.genome.gov/10002335</u>
- 56. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Medicare Coverage Database Homepage. Accessed at: <u>http://www.cms.hhs.gov/mcd/search.asp</u>
- 57. American Academy of Family Physicians (AAFP): [website] Genetics. Jan 2013. Accessed at: http://www.aafp.org/afp/topicModules/viewTopicModule.htm?topicModuleId=56
- 58. UpToDate: [website] Raby B. Basic principles of genetic disease. June 2013.
- 59. Allingham-Hawkins D, Levine S. Hayes White Paper. Regulation of Genetic Testing in the United States. Hayes Inc. Lansdale, PA. 2011.



- 60. Hayes Webinar Presentation: Genetic Counseling: What is it and why is it important? Hayes Inc. Lansdale, PA. April, 2013.
- 61. American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics. Position Statement. Points to consider in the clinical application of genomic sequencing. 2012. Accessed at: http://www.acmg.net/StaticContent/PPG/Clinical Application of Genomic Sequencing.pdf
- 62. American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics. Policy Statement. Technical report: ethical and policy issues in genetic testing and screening of children. Genet Med 2013:15(3):234–245 Accessed at: <a href="http://www.acmg.net/AM/Template.cfm?Section=Practice\_Guidelines&Template=/CM/HTMLDisplay.cfm&ContentID=7591">http://www.acmg.net/AM/Template.cfm?Section=Practice\_Guidelines&Template=/CM/HTMLDisplay.cfm&ContentID=7591</a>
- 63. Gene Tests. 2004-2013 Bio-Reference Laboratories, Inc. Accessed at: http://www.genetests.org/
- 64. Green RC, Berg JS, Grody WW, et al. ACMG recommendations for reporting of incidental findings in clinical exome and genome sequencing. Genet. Med. 2013;15:565-574.
- 65. Rehm HL, Bale SJ, Bayrak-Toydemir P, et al. ACMG clinical laboratory standards for next-generation sequencing. Genet. Med. 2013 Jul 25 [in press]. Accessed at: http://www.acmg.net/AM/Template.cfm?Section=Home3
- 66. Advanced Medical Review (AMR). Policy reviewed by physician board certified in clinical genetics, pathology anatomic and clinical, clinical molecular genetics. 8/3/13.

## Revision Date: 6/25/14