

DISCLAIMER

This Molina Clinical Policy (MCP) is intended to facilitate the Utilization Management process. Policies are not a supplementation or recommendation for treatment; Providers are solely responsible for the diagnosis, treatment and clinical recommendations for the Member. It expresses Molina's determination as to whether certain services or supplies are medically necessary, experimental, investigational, or cosmetic for purposes of determining appropriateness of payment. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that this service or supply is covered (e.g., will be paid for by Molina) for a particular Member. The Member's benefit plan determines coverage – each benefit plan defines which services are covered, which are excluded, and which are subject to dollar caps or other limits. Members and their Providers will need to consult the Member's benefit plan to determine if there are any exclusion(s) or other benefit limitations applicable to this service or supply. If there is a discrepancy between this policy and a Member's plan of benefits, the benefits plan will govern. In addition, coverage may be mandated by applicable legal requirements of a State, the Federal government or CMS for Medicare and Medicaid Members. CMS's Coverage Database can be found on the CMS website. The coverage directive(s) and criteria from an existing National Coverage Determination (NCD) or Local Coverage Determination (LCD) will supersede the contents of this MCP and provide the directive for all Medicare members.¹ References included were accurate at the time of policy approval and publication.

OVERVIEW

Intensity-modulated radiation therapy (IMRT) is a specialized form of external beam radiation treatment that involves modulation of radiation beam intensities within treatment fields to obtain more conformal dose delivery around the target(s) of irradiation. IMRT uses computer software, CT images, and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) to modulate the intensity of the overlapping radiation beams projected on the target and to use multiple-shaped treatment fields. It uses a device (a multi-leaf collimator, MLC) which, coupled to a computer algorithm, allows for treatment planning. The radiation oncologist delineates the target on each slice of a CT scan and specifies the target's prescribed radiation dose, acceptable limits of dose heterogeneity within the target volume, adjacent normal tissue volumes to avoid, and acceptable dose limits within the normal tissues. Based on these parameters and a digitally reconstructed radiographic image of the tumor and surrounding tissues and organs at risk, computer software optimizes the location, shape, and intensities of the beams ports, to achieve the treatment plan's goals. Increased conformality may permit escalated tumor doses without increasing normal tissue toxicity and thus may improve local tumor control, with decreased exposure to surrounding normal tissues, potentially reducing acute and late radiation toxicities. Better dose homogeneity within the target may also improve local tumor control by avoiding under dosing within the tumor and may decrease toxicity by avoiding overdosing. The benefits of IMRT are the greatest for patients with tumor targets that are concave, and where normal tissues around it are clinically important.⁴⁻¹⁰

COVERAGE POLICY^{1-3,11}

Intensity Modulated Radiation Therapy (IMRT) **may be considered medically necessary** and may be authorized when **ALL** of the following criteria is met:

1. Sparing the surrounding normal tissue is essential; **AND**
2. One of the following conditions is present:
 - a. Important dose limiting structures adjacent to (but outside the planned treatment volume [PTV]) are sufficiently close and require IMRT to assure safety and morbidity reduction; **OR**
 - b. An immediately adjacent volume has been irradiated and abutting portals must be established with high precision; **OR**
 - c. Gross Tumor Volume (GTV) margins are concave or convex and in close proximity to critical structures that must be protected to avoid unacceptable morbidity; **OR**
 - d. Only IMRT techniques would decrease the probability of Grade 2 or Grade 3 radiation toxicity as compared to conventional radiation in greater than 15% of radiated similar cases.

AND

3. Documentation is submitted by the treating physician that outlines the medical necessity for IMRT instead of using conventional or 3-dimensional treatment planning and delivery for **ANY** of the following conditions:
 - a. Central Nervous System (CNS) tumors (primary or metastatic lesions) with close proximity to the optic nerve, lens, retina, optic chiasm, cochlea, or brain stem including **ANY** of the following:¹²⁻¹⁷
 - Brain including cranial nerves and meninges; **OR**

- Spinal cord including spinal meninges.

OR

- b. Esophageal Cancer ¹⁸⁻²²

OR

- c. Head and Neck Cancer including, but not limited to, **ANY** of the following: ^{3,23,24,25,58,60}

- Hypopharynx; **OR**
- Laryngeal (advanced); **OR**
- Nasopharynx; **OR**
- Oral cavity; **OR**
- Oropharynx; **OR**
- Orbits; **OR**
- Paranasal sinuses and nasal cavity; **OR**
- Salivary glands; **OR**
- Tracheal Cancer.

OR

- d. Prostate Tumors including, but not limited to, **ANY** of the following: ^{27,28,29,30,31}

- Primary prostate carcinoma with an intact prostate and non-metastatic prostate cancer; **OR**
- After radical prostatectomy as adjuvant/salvage therapy & no evidence of disseminated disease; **OR**
- For symptomatic, metastatic prostate cancer when the target disease is within, or immediately adjacent to, previously irradiated tissue, and in selected solitary metastatic lesions.

OR

- e. Thoracic Malignancies, including **ONE** of the following:

- Lung tumors when a critical anatomical structure (such as cardiac or spine) is located in the radiation field and there is documented significantly impaired or limited pulmonary function; ³²⁻³⁷ **OR**
- Left breast tumors when **ONE** of the following criteria is met: ^{22,38-42}
 - i. When there is documented risk to immediately adjacent cardiac and pericardial structures; **OR**
 - ii. When 3D conformal produces focal regions with dose variation greater than 10% of target and for patients with target tissues that include the far medial chest wall, internal mammary nodal area or sternum (post-mastectomy or post lumpectomy).
- Mediastinal tumors when radiation is indicated. ⁴³

OR

- f. Cervical or Uterine Cancer in patients who have had a hysterectomy with intact cervix when the para-aortic lymph nodes require treatment; ^{22,44,45,55} **OR**
- g. Rectal or Anal Cancer in unique clinical situations such as re-irradiation of previously treated recurrent disease or unique anatomical situations; ^{22,46-51} **OR**
- h. Other Abdominal and Pelvic Tumors on a case by case basis only when medical necessity documentation is submitted to indicate that conventional or 3-dimensional treatment planning and delivery cannot be safely performed; **OR**
- i. Soft Tissue Sarcoma when an R1 or R2 resection is anticipated to increase the therapeutic ratio; ²² **OR**
- j. Repeat irradiation of a field that has received prior irradiation when the above criteria has been met. ²²

Limitations and Exclusions

Other uses of IMRT **are considered experimental, investigational and unproven** due to insufficient peer reviewed medical literature for the treatment of any other condition not outlined above.

DOCUMENTATION REQUIREMENTS. Molina Healthcare reserves the right to require that additional documentation be made available as part of its coverage determination; quality improvement; and fraud; waste and abuse prevention processes. Documentation required may include, but is not limited to, patient records, test results and credentials of the provider ordering or performing a drug or service. Molina Healthcare may deny reimbursement or take additional appropriate action if the documentation provided does not support the initial determination that the drugs or services were medically necessary, not investigational or experimental, and otherwise within the scope of benefits afforded to the member, and/or the documentation demonstrates a pattern of billing or other practice that is inappropriate or excessive.

SUMMARY OF MEDICAL EVIDENCE

The peer reviewed medical evidence from randomized controlled trials, prospective and retrospective studies is sufficient to determine the safety and efficacy of IMRT as a treatment for primary brain tumors, brain metastasis, prostate cancer, lung cancer, spinal cord tumors, head and neck cancer, adrenal tumors, and pituitary tumors where extremely high radiation precision is required. Other indications for IMRT include some left breast tumors due to risk to immediately adjacent cardiac and pericardial structures. There is a large body of literature therefore only a summary of the most relevant studies is provided below. IMRT is an emerging technology and is being studied in other thoracic tumors, abdominal tumors, esophageal and tracheal tumors, gynecologic tumors such as cervical cancer, anal cancer and in other genitourinary tumors where its high precision is especially necessary to avoid immediately adjacent structures such as heart, bowel or where there is a special need to avoid marrow. There is an increasing body of evidence in the peer reviewed medical literature that is demonstrating a positive impact of IMRT on patient health outcomes for these conditions.

Breast Cancer. The published literature on IMRT for the treatment of breast cancer suggests that whole breast irradiation (WBI) by intensity-modulated radiation therapy (IMRT) using standard fractionation schedules has lower rates of acute toxicity than standard two-dimensional (2D) radiation therapy in patients with early-stage breast cancer. Randomized controlled trials with sample sizes from 306 to 815 participants and follow-up times ranged from 6 weeks to 6.3 years show that WBI using IMRT delivered on a standard fractionation schedule for treatment of patients with early-stage breast cancer who have undergone breast-conserving surgery may be appropriate specifically in patients with left breast tumors when sparing surrounding tissue due to risk of immediately adjacent cardiac and pericardial structures.³⁸⁻⁴²

Central Nervous System (CNS). The published evidence on IMRT for the treatment of CNS tumors consistently report better sparing of healthy tissues and reduced toxicity in IMRT-treated patients and suggest that IMRT provides tumor control and survival outcomes comparable to existing radiotherapy techniques. Retrospective and prospective trials with sample sizes from 25-200 participants and follow-up times up to 2 years show that in most IMRT series excellent compliance and low rates of toxicity were recorded. Hypofractionated regimens in association with chemotherapy showed results that are even superior to the standard treatment.¹²⁻¹⁷

Prostate Cancer. The published literature on IMRT for the treatment of prostate cancer reports that IMRT may permit the delivery of higher doses of radiation to the prostate with relatively little toxicity to surrounding tissues and that higher radiation doses resulted in improved local tumor control, biochemical outcomes, and biopsy findings. IMRT is also associated with a significant reduction in acute GI/GU toxicity. Randomized controlled trials with sample sizes from 100 to 12,976 participants and follow-up times up to 5 years show that high-dose IMRT was feasible and safe, improved dose conformity relative to tumor coverage and exposure to normal tissue, and had a lower risk of late moderate rectal bleeding.²⁶⁻³¹

Head and Neck Cancer. The published literature on IMRT for the treatment of head and neck cancers (oral cavity and lip, larynx, hypopharynx, oropharynx, nasopharynx, paranasal sinuses and nasal cavity, salivary glands, and occult primaries in the head and neck region) reports that IMRT provides tumor control rates comparable to existing radiotherapy techniques. Randomized controlled trials, prospective and retrospective studies with sample sizes from 50 to 250 participants and follow-up times from 2 - 5 years show that IMRT may reduce the risk of exposure to radiation in critical nearby structures, such as spinal cord, salivary glands, and esophagus, thus decreasing risks of adverse effects such as xerostomia and esophageal stricture. The 5-year local control, overall survival, disease-specific survival, disease-free survival, and freedom from distant metastasis rate was 70.7%, 58.5%, 67%, 59.3%, and 82.2%, respectively.^{3,23-25,60}

Lung Cancer. The published literature on IMRT for the treatment of lung cancer suggests that IMRT should be considered for lung cancer patients where the tumor is in close proximity to an organ at risk, where the target volume includes a large volume of an organ at risk, or in scenarios where dose escalation would be potentially beneficial while minimizing normal tissue toxicity. Evidence from systematic reviews, retrospective and prospective studies with

sample sizes from 50 to 400 participants and follow-up times from 2 - 3 years report significant reduction in toxicity and improvement in survival. Median overall survival time was 1.8 years; the 2-year and 3-year overall survival rates were 46% and 30%, respectively.³²⁻³⁷

Cervical Cancer. Published literature from a Phase III randomized study (Chopra et al 2015), of three dimensional conformal radiation (3DCRT) vs. image guided radiation therapy (IGIMRT) was initiated in with a primary aim to demonstrate reduction in grade ≥ 2 late bowel toxicity in patients undergoing adjuvant chemoradiation for cervical cancer. A total of 120 patients completed a median follow up of 20 months (2–46). For cervical cancer patients undergoing postsurgical radiation therapy, image-guided intensity-modulated radiation therapy (IMRT) resulted in a 14% reduction in moderate-to-severe bowel side effects when compared to conventional three-dimensional conformal radiation therapy (3D CRT).^{44,45,55}

Mediastinal Tumors. Koeck (2011) conducted a comparative treatment planning analysis according to the guidelines of the German Hodgkin Study Group. This study analyzed the impact of target volume reduction with involved node (IN) RT vs. involved field (IF) RT and 3D-CRT vs. IMRT in 20 subjects with early unfavorable mediastinal Hodgkin's lymphoma (HL) for achievable plan quality, treatment efficiency and degree of sparing of organs at risk for radiation exposure. Dose-volume histograms (DVH) were evaluated for planning target volumes (PTV) and organs at risk (OAR). Results showed almost identical mean dose to the PTV for all radiation plans. For the IF and IN PTVs, target conformity was better with IMRT but homogeneity was better with 3D-CRT. The authors concluded that the findings demonstrated a pronounced benefit with IMRT for irradiation of lymph nodes anterior to the heart. Reduction of target volumes to IN-PTV most effectively improved OAR sparing regardless of the RT technique.⁴³

National and Specialty Organizations

The **American College of Radiology (ACR)** and the **American Radium Society (ARS)** published the *Practice Guideline for Intensity Modulated Radiation Therapy (IMRT)*. In summary, IMRT is a widely used and has enabled radiation oncologists to deliver higher doses of radiation to target structures while reducing doses to adjacent normal critical tissues, thereby improving therapeutic outcomes in many clinical areas. Successful IMRT programs involve integration of many processes: patient selection, patient positioning/immobilization, target definition, treatment plan development, and accurate treatment delivery. Appropriate QA procedures, including patient-specific QA measures, are essential for maintaining the quality of an IMRT program and ensuring patient safety.⁵⁶

The **ACR** and **American Society for Radiation Oncology (ASTRO)** published the *Model Policy: Intensity Modulated Radiation Therapy (IMRT)* which addresses indications and limitations of coverage; treatment planning and delivery; documentation requirements; coding information; and treatment devices.⁵⁷

The **American College of Radiology (ACR)** also published *Appropriateness Criteria Anal Cancer* which includes information regarding radiation dosing and techniques.⁵⁸

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

None.

CODING & BILLING INFORMATION

CPT Codes

CPT	Description
77301	Intensity modulated radiotherapy plan, including dose-volume histograms for target and critical structure partial tolerance specifications
77338	Multi-leaf collimator (MLC) device(s) for intensity modulated radiation therapy
77385	Intensity modulated radiation treatment delivery (IMRT), includes guidance and tracking, when performed; simple
77386	Intensity modulated radiation treatment delivery (IMRT), includes guidance and tracking, when performed; complex
77387	Guidance for localization of target volume for delivery of radiation treatment delivery, includes intrafraction tracking, when performed

Molina Clinical Policy

Intensity Modulated Radiation Therapy (IMRT): Policy No. 225

Last Approval: 10/13/2021

Next Review Due By: October 2022



HCPCS Codes

HCPCS	Description
G6015	Intensity modulated treatment delivery, single or multiple fields/arcs, via narrow spatially and temporally modulated beams, binary, dynamic MLC, per treatment session
G6016	Compensator-based beam modulation treatment delivery of inverse planned treatment using 3 or more high resolution (milled or cast) compensator, convergent beam modulated fields, per treatment session

CODING DISCLAIMER. Codes listed in this policy are for reference purposes only and may not be all-inclusive. Deleted codes and codes which are not effective at the time the service is rendered may not be eligible for reimbursement. Listing of a service or device code in this policy does guarantee coverage. Coverage is determined by the benefit document. Molina adheres to Current Procedural Terminology (CPT®), a registered trademark of the American Medical Association (AMA). All CPT codes and descriptions are copyrighted by the AMA; this information is included for informational purposes only. Providers and facilities are expected to utilize industry standard coding practices for all submissions. When improper billing and coding is not followed, Molina has the right to reject/deny the claim and recover claim payment(s). Due to changing industry practices, Molina reserves the right to revise this policy as needed.

APPROVAL HISTORY

10/13/2021	Policy reviewed, no changes to criteria; updated the Summary of Medical Evidence section, references.
9/18/2019, 9/16/2020	Policy reviewed, no changes.
3/18/2018	Policy reviewed, added medical necessity criteria for indications of: orbits and tracheal cancer; esophageal cancer; mediastinal tumors; cervical or uterine cancer in patients who have had a hysterectomy with intact cervix when the para-aortic lymph nodes require treatment; left breast cancer when 3D conformal produces focal regions with dose variation greater than 10% of target; and for target tissues (e.g., far medial chest wall, internal mammary nodal area or sternum [post-mastectomy or post lumpectomy]); rectal/anal cancer in unique clinical situations such as re-irradiation of previously treated recurrent disease or unique anatomical situations; soft tissue sarcoma when an R1 or R2 resection is anticipated to increase the therapeutic ratio; and repeat irradiation of a field that has received prior irradiation.
12/16/2015, 9/15/2016, 6/22/2017	Policy reviewed, no changes.
6/24/2015	Policy reviewed, revised to incorporate two new clinical indications for IMRT (rectal/anal cancer, abdominal and pelvic tumors).
3/25/2015	New policy.

REFERENCES

Government Agencies

- Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS). Medicare coverage database (search: "intensity modulated radiation therapy". <http://www.cms.gov/mcd/search.asp>. Accessed September 20, 2021.
- Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Center for Devices and Radiological Health (CDRH). Search 510(k) database (search: "IMRT systems" and "product codes MUJ, IYE, LHN). <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpmn/pmn.cfm>. Accessed Sept. 20, 2021.
- Samson DM, Ratko TA, Rothenberg BM, et al. Comparative effectiveness and safety of radiotherapy treatments for head and neck cancer (no. 20). May, 2010. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US). <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK45242/>. Accessed September 20, 2021.

Other Resources

- Hayes. Postoperative intensity-modulated radiation therapy for sinus cancers. <https://evidence.hayesinc.com>. Published December 26, 2013. Updated December 14, 2015. Archived January 26, 2017. Accessed September 20, 2021. Registration and login required.
- Hayes. Intensity-modulated radiation therapy (IMRT) for malignant gliomas. <https://evidence.hayesinc.com>. Published September 20, 2008. Updated August 25, 2010. Archived September 15, 2011. Accessed September 20, 2021. Registration and login required.
- Hayes. Accelerated partial breast irradiation for breast cancer using conformal and intensity-modulated radiation therapy. <https://evidence.hayesinc.com>. Published October 20, 2016. Updated March 3, 2021. Accessed September 20, 2021. Registration and login required.
- Hayes. Whole breast irradiation for breast cancer using three-dimensional conformal radiation therapy or intensity-modulated radiation therapy. <https://evidence.hayesinc.com>. Published March 8, 2012. Updated January 7, 2016. Archived April 8, 2017. Accessed September 20, 2021. Registration and login required.
- Hayes. Conformal and intensity-modulated radiation therapy for prostate cancer. <https://evidence.hayesinc.com>. Published November 30, 2006. Updated November 11, 2010. Archived December 30, 2011. Accessed September 20, 2021. Registration and login required.
- Hayes. Conformal and intensity-modulated radiation therapy for pancreatic cancer. <https://evidence.hayesinc.com>. Published December 6, 2006. Updated November 18, 2010. Archived January 6, 2012. Accessed September 20, 2021. Registration and login required.
- Hayes. Intensity modulated radiation therapy (imrt) for anal or rectal cancer. <https://evidence.hayesinc.com>. Published July 30, 2015. Updated September 20, 2019. Archived August 30, 2020. Accessed September 20, 2021. Registration and login required.
- AMR Peer Review. Policy reviewed on January 16, 2015 and January 12, 2018 by an Advanced Medical Reviews (AMR) practicing, board-certified physician in the area of Radiation Oncology.

Peer Reviewed Publications

- Amelio, D, Lorentini, S, Schwarz, M, Amichetti, M. Intensity-modulated radiation therapy in newly diagnosed glioblastoma: a systematic review on clinical and technical issues. *Radiother Oncol.* 2010 Dec;97(3):361-9. doi: 10.1016/j.radonc.2010.08.018. Accessed Sept. 20, 2021.
- Fuller CD, Choi M, Forthuber B, et al. Standard fractionation intensity modulated radiation therapy (IMRT) of primary and recurrent glioblastoma multiforme. *Radiat Oncol.* 2007 Jul 14;2:26. doi: 10.1186/1748-717X-2-26. Accessed September 20, 2021.

14. Hu X, Fang Y, Hui X, et al. Radiotherapy for diffuse brainstem glioma in children and young adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2016 Jun 27;(6):CD010439. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD010439.pub2. Accessed September 20, 2021.
15. Iuchi T, Hatano K, Kodama T, et al. Phase 2 trial of hypofractionated high-dose intensity modulated radiation therapy with concurrent and adjuvant temozolomide for newly diagnosed glioblastoma. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2014 Mar 15;88(4):793-800. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2013.12.011. Accessed September 20, 2021.
16. Iuchi T, Hatano K, Narita Y, et al. Hypofractionated high-dose irradiation for the treatment of malignant astrocytomas using simultaneous integrated boost technique by IMRT. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2006 Apr 1;64(5):1317-24. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2005.12.005. Accessed September 20, 2021.
17. Press RH, Prabhu RS, Appin CL, et al. Outcomes and patterns of failure for grade 2 meningioma treated with reduced-margin intensity modulated radiation therapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2014 Apr 1;88(5):1004-10. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2013.12.037. Accessed September 20, 2021.
18. Chen YJ, Liu A, Han C, et al. Helical tomotherapy for radiotherapy in esophageal cancer: A preferred plan with better conformal target coverage and more homogeneous dose distribution. *Med Dosim.* Fall 2007;32(3):166-71. doi: 10.1016/j.meddos.2006.12.003. Accessed September 20, 2021.
19. Kole T, Aghayere O, Kwah J, et al. Comparison of heart and coronary artery doses associated with intensity-modulated radiation therapy versus three-dimensional conformal radiotherapy for distal esophageal cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2012 Aug 1;83(5):1580-6. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2011.10.053. Accessed September 20, 2021.
20. La TH, Minn AY, Su Z, et al. Multimodality treatment with intensity modulated radiation therapy for esophageal cancer. *Dis Esophagus.* 2010 May;23(4):300-8. doi: 10.1111/j.1442-2050.2009.01004.x. Accessed September 20, 2021.
21. Lin SH, Wang L, Myles B, et al. Propensity score-based comparison of long-term outcomes with 3-dimensional conformal radiotherapy vs intensity-modulated radiotherapy for esophageal cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2012 Dec 1;84(5):1078-85. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2012.02.015. Accessed September 20, 2021.
22. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/default.aspx. Accessed September 20, 2021.
 - Search for: Anal Carcinoma, Breast Cancer, Cervical Cancer, Esophageal and Esophagogastric Junction Cancers, Head and Neck Cancers, Nervous System Cancers, Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer and Small Cell Lung Cancer, Prostate Cancer, Soft Tissue Sarcoma, and Uterine Neoplasms.
23. Bhatia A, Rao A, Ang KK, et al. Anaplastic thyroid cancer: Clinical outcomes with conformal radiotherapy. *Head Neck.* 2010 Jul;32(7):829-36. doi: 10.1002/hed.21257. Accessed September 20, 2021.
24. Dirix P, Nuyts S. Value of intensity-modulated radiotherapy in Stage IV head-and-neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2010 Dec 1;78(5):1373-80. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2009.10.018. Accessed September 20, 2021.
25. Nutting CM, Morden JP, Harrington KJ, et al. Parotid-sparing intensity modulated versus conventional radiotherapy in head and neck cancer (PARSPORT): A phase 3 multicentre randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2011 Feb;12(2):127-36. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(10)70290-4. Accessed September 20, 2021.
26. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ). Intensity-modulated radiation therapy for localized prostate cancer yields fewer side effects than other radiation treatments (no. 387). Published November, 2012. Accessed September 20, 2021.
27. Goldin G, Sheets N, Meyer A, et al. Comparative effectiveness of intensity-modulated radiotherapy and conventional conformal radiotherapy in the treatment of prostate cancer after radical prostatectomy. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2013;173(12):1136-1143. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2013.1020. Accessed September 20, 2021.
28. Goldin G, Sheets N, Meyer A, et al. Intensity-modulated radiation therapy, proton therapy, or conformal radiation therapy and morbidity and disease control in localized prostate cancer. *JAMA.* 2012 Apr 18;307(15):1611-20. doi: 10.1001/jama.2012.460. Accessed September 20, 2021.
29. Hoffman KE, Voong KR, Pugh TJ, et al. Risk of late toxicity in men receiving dose-escalated hypofractionated intensity modulated prostate radiation therapy: results from a randomized trial. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2014 Apr 1; 88(5):1074-84. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2014.01.015. Accessed September 20, 2021.
30. Michalski JM, Yan Y, Watkins-Bruner D, et al. Preliminary toxicity analysis of 3-dimensional conformal radiation therapy versus intensity modulated radiation therapy on the high-dose arm of the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group 0126 prostate cancer trial. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2013 Dec 1; 87(5):932-8. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2013.07.041. Accessed September 20, 2021.
31. Pollack A, Hanlon AL, Horwitz EM, et al. Dosimetry and preliminary acute toxicity in the first 100 men treated for prostate cancer on a randomized hypofractionation dose escalation trial. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2006 Feb 1;64(2):518-26. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2005.07.970. Accessed September 20, 2021.
32. Bezjak A, Rumble RB, Rodrigues G, Hope A, Warde P. Intensity-modulated radiotherapy in the treatment of lung cancer. *Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol).* 2012 Sep;24(7):508-20. doi: 10.1016/j.clon.2012.05.007. Accessed September 20, 2021.
33. Govaert SL, Troost EG, Schuurbers OC, et al. Treatment outcome and toxicity of intensity-modulated (chemo) radiotherapy in stage III non-small cell lung cancer patients. *Radiat Oncol.* 2012;7:150. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1748-717X-7-150>. Accessed September 20, 2021.
34. Jensen AD, Munter MW, Bischoff HG, et al. Combined treatment of non-small cell lung cancer NSCLC stage III with intensity-modulated RT radiotherapy and cetuximab: The NEAR trial. *Cancer.* 2011 Jul 1;117(13):2986-94. doi: 10.1002/cncr.25888. Accessed Sept. 20, 2021.
35. Jiang ZQ, Yang K, Komaki R, et al. Long-term clinical outcome of intensity-modulated radiotherapy for inoperable non-small cell lung cancer: the MD Anderson experience. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2012 May 1;83(1):332-9. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2011.06.1963. Accessed September 20, 2021.
36. Liao ZX, Komaki RR, Thames HD, et al. Influence of technologic advances on outcomes in patients with unresectable, locally advanced non-small-cell lung cancer receiving concomitant chemoradiotherapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2010 Mar 1;76(3):775-81. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2009.02.032. Accessed September 20, 2021.
37. Sura S, Gupta V, Yorke A, Jackson A, Amols H, Rosenzweig KE. Intensity-modulated radiation therapy (IMRT) for inoperable non-small cell lung cancer: The Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center (MSKCC) experience. *Radiother Oncol.* 2008 Apr;87(1):17-23. doi: 10.1016/j.radonc.2008.02.005. Accessed September 20, 2021.
38. Barnett GC, Wilkinson J, Moody AM, et al. A randomised controlled trial of forward-planned radiotherapy (IMRT) for early breast cancer: baseline characteristics and dosimetry results. *Radiother Oncol.* 2009 Jul;92(1):34-41. doi: 10.1016/j.radonc.2009.03.003. Accessed September 20, 2021.
39. Barnett GC, Wilkinson JS, Moody AM, et al. Randomized controlled trial of forward-planned intensity-modulated radiotherapy for early breast cancer: interim results at 2 years. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2012 Feb 1;82(2):715-23. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2010.10.068. Accessed September 20, 2021.

40. Donovan E, Bleakley N, Denholm E, et al. Breast Technology Group: Randomised trial of standard 2D radiotherapy (RT) versus intensity modulated radiotherapy (IMRT) in patients prescribed breast radiotherapy. *Radiother Oncol.* 2007 Mar;82(3):254-64. doi: 10.1016/j.radonc.2006.12.008. Accessed September 20, 2021.
41. Livi L, Buonamici FB, Simontacchi G, et al. Accelerated partial breast irradiation with IMRT: New technical approach and interim analysis of acute toxicity in a phase III randomized clinical trial. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2010 Jun 1;77(2):509-15. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2009.04.070. Accessed September 20, 2021.
42. Pignol JP, Olivetto I, Rakovitch E, et al. A multicenter randomized trial of breast intensity-modulated radiation therapy to reduce acute radiation dermatitis. *J Clin Oncol.* 2008 May 1;26(13):2085-92. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2007.15.2488. Accessed September 20, 2021.
43. Koeck J, Abo-Madyan Y, Lohr F, et al. Radiotherapy for early mediastinal Hodgkin lymphoma according to the German Hodgkin Study Group (GHSG): the roles of intensity-modulated radiotherapy and involved-node radiotherapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2012; 83(1):268-276. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2011.05.054>. Accessed September 20, 2021.
44. Chen, MF, Tseng, CJ, Tseng, et al. Clinical outcome in posthysterectomy cervical cancer patients treated with concurrent Cisplatin and intensity-modulated pelvic radiotherapy: Comparison with conventional radiotherapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2007 Apr 1;67(5):1438-44. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2006.11.005. Accessed September 20, 2021.
45. Lv Y, Wang F, et al. Intensity-modulated whole pelvic radiotherapy provides effective dosimetric outcomes for cervical cancer treatment with lower toxicities. *Cancer Radiother.* 2014 Dec;18(8):745-52. doi: 10.1016/j.canrad.2014.08.005. Accessed September 20, 2021.
46. Call JA, Prendergast BM, Jensen LG, et al. Intensity-modulated radiation therapy for anal cancer: Results from a multi-institutional retrospective cohort study. *Am J Clin Oncol.* 2016 Feb;39(1):8-12. doi: 10.1097/COC.000000000000009. Accessed September 20, 2021.
47. Han K, Cummings BJ, Lindsay P, et al. Prospective evaluation of acute toxicity and quality of life after IMRT and concurrent chemotherapy for anal canal and perianal cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2014 Nov 1;90(3):587-94. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2014.06.061. Accessed September 20, 2021.
48. Kachnic LA, Tsai HK, Coen JJ, et al. Dose-painted intensity-modulated radiation therapy for anal cancer: A multi-institutional report of acute toxicity and response to therapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2012 Jan 1;82(1):153-8. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2010.09.030. Accessed September 20, 2021.
49. Kachnic LA, Winter K, Myerson RJ, et al. RTOG 0529: A phase 2 evaluation of dose-painted intensity modulated radiation therapy in combination with 5-fluorouracil and mitomycin-C for the reduction of acute morbidity in carcinoma of the anal canal. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2013 May 1;86(1):27-33. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2012.09.023. Accessed September 20, 2021.
50. Mitchell MP, Abboud M, Eng C, et al. Intensity-modulated radiation therapy with concurrent chemotherapy for anal cancer: Outcomes and toxicity. *Am J Clin Oncol.* 2014 Oct;37(5):461-6. doi: 10.1097/COC.0b013e31827e52a3. Accessed September 20, 2021.
51. Salama JK, Mell LK, Schomas DA, et al. Concurrent chemotherapy and intensity-modulated radiation therapy for anal canal cancer patients: A multicenter experience. *J Clin Oncol.* 2007 Oct 10;25(29):4581-6. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2007.12.0170. Accessed Sept. 20, 2021.
52. Koyfman SA. General principles of radiation therapy for head and neck cancer. <http://www.uptodate.com>. Updated June 18, 2020. Accessed September 20, 2021. Registration and login required.
53. Dibase S, Roach M. External beam radiation therapy for localized prostate cancer. <http://www.uptodate.com>. Updated April 5, 2021. Accessed September 20, 2021. Registration and login required.
54. Ryan DP, Willet CG. Clinical features, staging, and treatment of anal cancer. <http://www.uptodate.com>. Updated May 11, 2021. Accessed September 20, 2021. Registration and login required.

National and Specialty Organizations

51. American College of Radiology (ACR) and American Society for Radiation Oncology (ASTRO). Practice guideline for intensity modulated radiation therapy (IMRT). Revised 2021. <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/imrt-ro.pdf>. Accessed Sept. 20, 2021.
52. American College of Radiology (ACR) and American Society for Radiation Oncology (ASTRO). Model policies: Intensity modulated radiation therapy (IMRT). <https://www.astro.org/ASTRO/media/ASTRO/Daily%20Practice/PDFs/IMRTMP.pdf>. Updated June 6, 2019. Accessed September 20, 2021.
53. Expert Panel on Radiation Oncology (Rectal/Anal Cancer), Hong TS, Pretz JL, Herman JM, Abdel-Wahab M, Azad N. American College of Radiology (ACR) appropriateness criteria – anal cancer. *Gastrointest Cancer Res.* 2014 Jan;7(1):4-14. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24558509/>. Accessed September 20, 2021.
54. O'Sullivan B, Rumble RB, Warde P, IMRT Indications Expert Panel. The role of IMRT in head and neck cancer. Toronto: Cancer Care Ontario. <https://www.cancercareontario.ca/sites/ccocancercare/files/guidelines/full/pebc21-3-3f.pdf>. Published January 12, 2011. Accessed September 20, 2021.
55. Catton C, Rumble RB, Warde P, IMRT Indications Expert Panel. The role of IMRT in soft-tissue sarcomas. Toronto: Cancer Care Ontario. <https://www.cancercareontario.ca/en/file/2861/download?token=JOMXvZO4>. Published October 29, 2010. Accessed September 20, 2021.
56. American College of Radiology (ACR), American Radium Society (ARS). ACR–ARS practice parameter for intensity-modulated radiation therapy (IMRT). <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/imrt-ro.pdf>. Updated 2021. Accessed September 20, 2021.
57. American College of Radiology (ACR), American Society for Radiation Oncology (ASTRO). Model policy: Intensity modulated radiation therapy (IMRT). <https://www.astro.org/ASTRO/media/ASTRO/Daily%20Practice/PDFs/IMRTMP.pdf>. Published 2019. Accessed September 20, 2021.
58. American College of Radiology (ACR). Appropriateness criteria: Anal cancer. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69380/Narrative/>. Updated 2013. Accessed September 20, 2021.

APPENDIX

Reserved for State specific information (to be provided by the individual States, not Corporate). Information includes, but is not limited to, State contract language, Medicaid criteria and other mandated criteria.