

Subject: Measurement of Carotid Intima-Media Thickness for Prediction of Clinical Vascular Events		Original Effective Date: 2/2/15
Policy Number: MCP-235	Revision Date(s): 7/10/18	
Review Date: 12/16/15, 9/15/16, 6/22/17, 9/18/19, 9/16/20		
MCPC Approval Date: 7/10/18, 9/18/19, 9/16/20		

DISCLAIMER

This Molina Clinical Policy (MCP) is intended to facilitate the Utilization Management process. It expresses Molina's determination as to whether certain services or supplies are medically necessary, experimental, investigational, or cosmetic for purposes of determining appropriateness of payment. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that this service or supply is covered (i.e., will be paid for by Molina) for a particular member. The member's benefit plan determines coverage. Each benefit plan defines which services are covered, which are excluded, and which are subject to dollar caps or other limits. Members and their providers will need to consult the member's benefit plan to determine if there are any exclusion(s) or other benefit limitations applicable to this service or supply. If there is a discrepancy between this policy and a member's plan of benefits, the benefits plan will govern. In addition, coverage may be mandated by applicable legal requirements of a State, the Federal government or CMS for Medicare and Medicaid members. CMS's Coverage Database can be found on the CMS website. The coverage directive(s) and criteria from an existing National Coverage Determination (NCD) or Local Coverage Determination (LCD) will supersede the contents of this Molina Clinical Policy (MCP) document and provide the directive for all Medicare members.¹

Contents

DISCLAIMER	1
Description of Procedure/Service/Pharmaceutical.....	1
Recommendation	2
Summary of Medical Evidence.....	2
Coding Information.....	4
References.....	4

DESCRIPTION OF PROCEDURE/SERVICE/PHARMACEUTICAL

Ultrasonographic measurement of carotid intima-medial (also called intimal-medial or intima-media) thickness (CIMT) refers to the use of B-mode ultrasound to determine the thickness of the two innermost layers of the carotid artery wall, the intima and the media. Ultrasonographic measurement of CIMT has been examined as a screening test for progression of atherosclerosis and is proposed for use in identifying and monitoring coronary heart disease.

CIMT is performed on the common carotid artery on both sides of the neck and the measurements of the intima and the media thickness are recorded. This noninvasive test is performed by scanning with high-resolution B mode ultrasonography and using computer enhancement and analysis to determine the thickness of the intima and media of the carotid artery. The results evaluate for any thickening or signs of anatomical changes from early atherosclerotic disease.

RECOMMENDATION

Measurement of carotid intima-media thickness for prediction of clinical vascular events is considered investigational/experimental and unproven due to insufficient evidence in the peer reviewed medical literature that have not established safety, efficacy and effect on net health outcomes.

SUMMARY OF MEDICAL EVIDENCE²⁻¹⁷

There is insufficient published evidence to assess the role of carotid intima-media thickness measurement for the prediction of clinical vascular events and/or the impact on health outcomes or patient management. There are no randomized clinical trials in the published literature that directly test the theory that carotid or femoral IMT measurements result in improved patient outcomes, and no specific guidance on how measurements of carotid IMT should be incorporated into risk assessment and risk management. The current published literature consists of several systematic reviews, meta-analyses, case series, and large longitudinal cohort studies. A summary of the most relevant studies is outlined below.

A very large cohort study called IMPROVE (2012) compared the performance of several measures of carotid intima-media thickness (C-IMT) as predictors of cardiovascular events (CVEs), and investigated whether they add to the predictive accuracy of Framingham risk factors (FRFs). The study was carried out in 5 European countries. A total of 3,703 subjects (median age 64.4 years; 48% men) were followed-up for a median of 36.2 months, and 215 suffered a first CVE (incidence: 19.9/1,000 person-years). All measures of C-IMT and the interadventitia common carotid artery diameter (ICCAD) were associated with the risk of CVEs, after adjustment for FRFs and therapies (all $p < 0.005$). The average of 8 maximal IMT measurements (IMT(mean-max)), alone or combined with ICCAD, classified events and non-events better than the common carotid mean IMT (net reclassification improvement [NRI]: +11.6% and +19.9%, respectively; both $p < 0.01$). Compared with classification based on FRFs alone, the NRI resulting from the combination of FRFs+ICCAD+IMT(mean-max) was +12.1% ($p < 0.01$). The presence of at least 1 plaque (maximum IMT > 1.5 mm) performed significantly worse than composite IMTs that incorporated plaques ($p < 0.001$). Adjusted Kaplan-Meier curves showed that individuals with a FRS = 22.6% (cohort average), and both IMT(mean-max) and ICCAD above the median, had a 6.5% risk to develop a CVE over 3 years versus a 3.4% risk for those with the same FRS, and both IMT(mean-max) and ICCAD below the median. The authors concluded that a risk stratification strategy based on C-IMT and ICCAD as an adjunct to FRFs is a rational approach to prevention of cardiovascular disease.³

In a large randomized controlled trial of 984 participants data was analyzed from the Measuring Effects on Intima-Media Thickness: an Evaluation of Rosuvastatin (METEOR) study, which showed that rosuvastatin attenuated the rate of change of carotid intima-media thickness (CIMT). In this post hoc analysis, duplicate baseline ultrasound images from the far wall of the left and right common carotid arteries were used for the evaluation of the echolucency of the carotid intima-media, measured by grey-scale median (GSM) on a scale of

0-256. Low GSM values reflect echolucent, whereas high values reflect echogenic structures. The relationship between baseline GSM and cardiovascular risk factors was evaluated using linear regression models.

RESULTS: Mean baseline GSM (\pm SD) was 84 ± 29 . Lower GSM of the carotid intima-media was associated with older age, high body mass index (BMI) and low levels of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) [beta -4.49, 95% confidence interval (CI) -6.50 to -2.49; beta -4.51, 95% CI -6.43 to -2.60; beta 2.45, 95% CI 0.47 to 4.42, respectively]. Common CIMT was inversely related to GSM of the carotid intima-media (beta -3.94, 95% CI -1.98 to -5.89). Older age, high BMI and low levels of HDL-C are related to echolucency of the carotid intima-media. The authors concluded that echolucency of the carotid intima-media may be used as a marker of cardiovascular risk profile to provide more information than thickness alone.¹¹

In the Tromsø Study (2012) subjects were 1307 men and 1436 women who participated in a longitudinal population-based study with ultrasound examination of the right carotid artery at baseline and after 13 years of follow-up. Total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, blood pressure, body mass index, and information about smoking habits, prevalent diabetes, and cardiovascular disease were obtained at baseline. Carotid atherosclerosis was assessed as TPA and mean IMT of plaque-free segments of the common carotid artery. Associations between z-scores of risk factors and carotid atherosclerosis were assessed in multiple linear regression models. RESULTS: In multivariable models, total cholesterol, systolic blood pressure, and smoking were stronger predictors of follow-up TPA than of IMT, whereas sex and age were stronger predictors of IMT. Total cholesterol (standardized $\beta=0.081$), systolic blood pressure (standardized $\beta=0.062$), and smoking (standardized $\beta=0.107$) were significant predictors of Δ TPA, whereas only total cholesterol (standardized $\beta=0.084$) was an independent predictor of Δ IMT. The variance explained by traditional cardiovascular risk factors was somewhat greater for TPA than for IMT. The authors concluded that the cardiovascular risk factors total cholesterol, smoking, and systolic blood pressure were stronger long-term predictors of TPA and TPA progression than for IMT and IMT progression.⁵

The systematic reviews and meta-analyses investigated the ability of CIMT measurement to identify coronary artery disease in asymptomatic patients and predict first-time myocardial infarction (MI) or first-time stroke. The inclusion criteria varied for the studies included in these reviews. The results consistently reported that CIMT is a predictor of cardiovascular risk but the addition of CIMT measurement did not significantly improve risk prediction over conventional cardiovascular risk factors. In addition, most of the reviewed studies were conducted in the research setting and therefore cannot be used to describe conclusions on the applicability of CIMT measurement in the clinical setting for asymptomatic patients.^{2 4 7 8 12 14}

Professional Society Guidelines¹⁸⁻²⁴

The 2013 American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association guideline for the assessment of CVD risk does not recommend the routine use of CIMT in clinical practice for CVD risk assessment. The U.S. Preventative Services Task Force (USPSTF) 2009 Guidelines conclude that the current evidence is insufficient to assess the balance of benefits and harms of using the nontraditional risk factors such as CIMT to screen asymptomatic men and women with no history of CAD to prevent CHD events. The 2010 American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association guidelines for the assessment of CVD risk in asymptomatic adults give CIMT a level IIa recommendation for cardiovascular risk evaluation in intermediate risk patients. No recommendations were made regarding the use of CIMT in low-risk patients, high-risk patients, or patients with established CVD.

CODING INFORMATION THE CODES LISTED IN THIS POLICY ARE FOR REFERENCE PURPOSES ONLY. LISTING OF A SERVICE OR DEVICE CODE IN THIS POLICY DOES NOT IMPLY THAT THE SERVICE DESCRIBED BY THIS CODE IS COVERED OR NON-COVERED. COVERAGE IS DETERMINED BY THE BENEFIT DOCUMENT. THIS LIST OF CODES MAY NOT BE ALL INCLUSIVE.

CPT	Description
93895	Quantitative carotid intima media thickness and carotid atheroma evaluation, bilateral
0126T	Common carotid intima-media thickness (IMT) study for evaluation of atherosclerotic burden or coronary heart disease risk factor assessment

HCPCS	Description
	N/A

ICD-10	Description: [For dates of service on or after 10/01/2015]
I70.0-I70.92	Atherosclerosis code range

REFERENCES

Government Agencies

- Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). Medicare Coverage Database. National coverage determination (NCD) Search. Accessed at: <http://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/>
- Helfand, M, Buckley, DI, Freeman M, et al. Emerging risk factors for coronary heart disease: a summary of systematic reviews conducted for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med. 2009 Oct 6;151(7):496-507. PMID: 19805772

Peer Reviewed Publications

- Baldassarre D, Hamsten A, Veglia F et al. Measurements of carotid intima-media thickness and of interadventitia common carotid diameter improve prediction of cardiovascular events: results of the **IMPROVE** (Carotid Intima Media Thickness [IMT] and IMT-Progression as Predictors of Vascular Events in a High Risk European Population) study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2012 Oct 16;60(16):1489-99. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2012.06.034. Epub 2012 Sep 19
- Den Ruijter, HM, Peters, SA, Anderson, TJ, et al. Common carotid intima-media thickness measurements in cardiovascular risk prediction: a meta-analysis. JAMA. 2012;308:796-803. PMID: 22910757
- Herder M, Johnsen SH, Arntzen KA, Mathiesen EB. Risk factors for progression of carotid intima-media thickness and total plaque area: a 13-year follow-up study: the **Tromsø** Study. Stroke. 2012 Jul;43(7):1818-23. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.111.646596. Epub 2012 May 1.
- Lorenz MW, Schaefer C, Steinmetz H, et al. Is carotid intima media thickness useful for individual prediction of cardiovascular risk? Ten-year results from the Carotid Atherosclerosis Progression Study (**CAPS**). Eur Heart J. 2010 Aug;31(16):2041-8. Epub 2010 Jun 8.
- Lorenz, MW, Polak, JF, Kavousi, M, et al. Carotid intima-media thickness progression to predict cardiovascular events in the general population (the PROG-IMT collaborative project): a meta-analysis of individual participant data. Lancet. 2012 Jun 2;379(9831):2053-62. PMID: 22541275

8. Mookadam, F, Moustafa, SE, Lester, SJ, Warsame, T. Subclinical atherosclerosis: evolving role of carotid intima-media thickness. *Prev Cardiol*. 2010 Fall;13(4):186-97. PMID: 20860643
9. Nambi V, Chambless L, He M, et al. Common carotid artery intima-media thickness is as good as carotid intima-media thickness of all carotid artery segments in improving prediction of coronary heart disease risk in the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study. *Eur Heart J*. 2012. Jan;33(2):183-90. Epub 2011 Jun 11.
10. Nambi V, Chambless L, Folsom A, et al. Carotid intima-media thickness and the presence or absence of plaque improves prediction of coronary heart disease risk in the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2010;55:1600–7. Accessed at: <http://content.onlinejacc.org/cgi/content/short/55/15/1600>
11. Peters SA, Lind L, Palmer MK, Grobbee DE et al. Increased age, high body mass index and low HDL-C levels are related to an echolucent carotid intima-media: the **METEOR** study. *J Intern Med*. 2012 Sep;272(3):257-66. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2796.2011.02505.x. Epub 2012 Jan 12.
12. Peters, SA, den Ruijter, HM, Bots, ML, Moons, KG. Improvements in risk stratification for the occurrence of cardiovascular disease by imaging subclinical atherosclerosis: a systematic review. *Heart*. 2012 Feb;98(3):177-84. PMID: 22095617
13. Paramsothy P, Katz R, Owens DS, et al. Age modification of the association of lipoprotein, lipid, and lipoprotein ratio with carotid intima-media thickness (from the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis [MESA]). *Am J Cardiol*. 2012 Mar1;109(5):658-64.
14. van den Oord, SC, Sijbrands, EJ, ten Kate, GL, et al. Carotid intima-media thickness for cardiovascular risk assessment: systematic review and metaanalysis. *Atherosclerosis*. 2013 May;228(1):1-11.
15. Seo WK, Kim YJ, Lee J et al. Design and Rationale of the Intima-Medial Thickness Sub-Study of the Prevention of Cardiovascular Events in Ischemic Stroke Patients with High Risk of Cerebral hemorrhage (PICASSO-IMT) Study. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2017 Sep;26(9):1892-1898. doi: 10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2017.06.035. Epub 2017 Jul 22.
16. Polak JF, O'Leary DH. Carotid Intima-Media Thickness as Surrogate for and Predictor of CVD. *Glob Heart*. 2016 Sep;11(3):295-312.e3. doi: 10.1016/j.ghheart.2016.08.006.
17. Chiavaroli L, Mirrahimi A, Ireland C et al. Cross-sectional associations between dietary intake and carotid intima media thickness in type 2 diabetes: baseline data from a randomised trial. *BMJ Open*. 2017 Mar 22;7(3):e015026. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-015026.

Professional Society Guidelines

18. American Heart Association (AHA) Position Statement on State Efforts to Mandate Coronary Arterial Calcification and Carotid Intima Media Thickness Screenings among Asymptomatic Adults. March 7, 2012. Assessed at: http://www.heart.org/idc/groups/heart-public/@wcm/@adv/documents/downloadable/ucm_437479.pdf
19. Goff Dc, Lloyd-Jones DM, Bennett G, Coady S et al. 2013 ACC/AHA guideline on the assessment of cardiovascular risk: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2014;63(25 Pt B):2935. Epub 2013 Nov 12.
20. Greenland P, Alpert JS, Beller GA, et al. 2010 ACCF/AHA guideline for assessment of cardiovascular risk in asymptomatic adults: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2010 Dec 14;56(25):e50-103. Accessed at: <http://circ.ahajournals.org/cgi/reprint/122/25/2748.pdf>

21. Polak JF, Person SD, Wei GS, et al. Segment-Specific Associations of Carotid Intima-Media Thickness With Cardiovascular Risk Factors. The Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults (CARDIA) Study. American Heart and Stroke Association. 2010. Accessed at: <http://stroke.ahajournals.org/cgi/reprint/41/1/9>
22. Society for Vascular Medicine (SVM). Position Statement regarding the American Society of Echocardiography Carotid Intima-Media Thickness Task Force Consensus Statement.
23. Touboul PJ, Hennerici MG, Meairs S et al. Mannheim Carotid Intima-Media Thickness and Plaque Consensus (2004–2006–2011). An Update on Behalf of the Advisory Board of the 3rd, 4th and 5th Watching the Risk Symposia, at the 13th, 15th and 20th European Stroke Conferences, Mannheim, Germany, 2004, Brussels, Belgium, 2006, and Hamburg, Germany, 2011. Cerebrovasc Dis 2012;34:290–296. Accessed at: <https://www.iimt.fr/Press/MannheimConsensus2011.pdf>
24. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Cardiovascular Disease: Risk Assessment with Nontraditional Risk Factors, Topic Page. July, 2018. Accessed at: <https://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/uspstf/document/RecommendationStatementFinal/cardiovascular-disease-screening-using-nontraditional-risk-assessment>

Hayes

25. Hayes a Division of Tract Manager. Winifred Hayes Inc. Lansdale, PA.
 - Health Technology Assessment. . Measurement of Carotid Intima-Media Thickness for Prediction of Clinical Vascular Events. Last updated July, 2013. Archived 2014.

Other Resources

26. UpToDate: [website]: Waltham, MA: Walters Kluwer Health; 2020. Groot ED, Kastelein J et al. Carotid intima-media thickness.
27. Peer Review: Policy reviewed by AMR practicing physician board certified in Cardiovascular Disease, Interventional Cardiology, March 27, 2018

Review/Revision History:

2/2/15: New Policy

12/16/15, 9/15/16, 6/22/17: No changes.

7/10/18: This policy was reviewed and this testing remains controversial and experimental. The following sections were updated: professional society guidelines and references.

9/18/19 & 9/16/20: Policy reviewed, no changes.