

Molina Clinical Policy

Transcatheter Pulmonary Valve Replacement: Policy No. 148

Last Approval: 12/14/2022

Next Review Due By: December 2023



DISCLAIMER

This Molina Clinical Policy (MCP) is intended to facilitate the Utilization Management process. Policies are not a supplementation or recommendation for treatment; Providers are solely responsible for the diagnosis, treatment and clinical recommendations for the Member. It expresses Molina's determination as to whether certain services or supplies are medically necessary, experimental, investigational, or cosmetic for purposes of determining appropriateness of payment. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that this service or supply is covered (e.g., will be paid for by Molina) for a particular Member. The Member's benefit plan determines coverage – each benefit plan defines which services are covered, which are excluded, and which are subject to dollar caps or other limits. Members and their Providers will need to consult the Member's benefit plan to determine if there are any exclusion(s) or other benefit limitations applicable to this service or supply. If there is a discrepancy between this policy and a Member's plan of benefits, the benefits plan will govern. In addition, coverage may be mandated by applicable legal requirements of a State, the Federal government or CMS for Medicare and Medicaid Members. CMS's Coverage Database can be found on the CMS website. The coverage directive(s) and criteria from an existing National Coverage Determination (NCD) or Local Coverage Determination (LCD) will supersede the contents of this MCP and provide the directive for all Medicare members. References included were accurate at the time of policy approval and publication.

OVERVIEW

Congenital heart disease (CHD) refers to conditions that affect the heart and the proximal vasculature at birth. Some types of CHD may affect the structure and function of the heart. CHD ranges from mild to severe and requires treatment. CHD, which includes tetralogy of Fallot, pulmonary atresia, and transposition of the great arteries, is generally treated by surgical repair at an early age. Right ventricular outflow tract (RVOT) dysfunction, an issue with the blood flow as it exits the heart and travels to the lungs, is one form of CHD. The repair of RVOT entails reconstructing the RVOT and pulmonary valve by inserting a tube or surgical conduit to facilitate normal blood flow. However, the conduit can become narrowed over time, or a specific valve can leak, necessitating a second valve replacement surgery. This second surgery is typically performed as an open procedure. Interventions for correction of pulmonary stenosis include open heart surgery with valve replacement, balloon dilatation, or percutaneous stenting. Interventions for pulmonary regurgitation (PR) are generally surgical, consisting of either RVOT conduit reconstruction or pulmonary valve replacement.

Transcatheter pulmonary valve implantation (TPVI) is a non-surgical option to restore pulmonary valve function in children and adults with such forms of CHD. The procedure offers a less invasive alternative to, or an improvement on, surgical pulmonary valve implantation for patients with CHD, who would otherwise require open surgical pulmonary valve replacement or reconstruction for RVOT obstruction. This procedure may be also important for non-congenital, destructive lesions of the pulmonary artery valve.

There are ongoing post approval studies to assess long-term clinical performance of the Melody TPV and the SAPIEN XT Transcatheter Heart Valve – Pulmonic after transcatheter implantation in participants with dysfunctional RVOT conduits; however, there are no randomized controlled trials (RCTs) to compare the transcatheter approach to open-heart surgical technique.

Regulatory Status

Devices for TPVI were initially cleared from marketing by the FDA through the humanitarian device exemption (HDE) process or used off-label until FDA-approved through the premarket approval (PMA) process. FDA product code: NPV.

Melody. The Melody valve system consists of two components: the Melody TPV (bovine jugular valve with stent) and the Ensemble Transcatheter Valve Delivery (TVD) System (Medtronic) were originally approved under HDE (H080002) on January 25, 2010. The approval of the Melody device was amended to a PMA due to the determination by the FDA that the device represents a breakthrough technology ([FDA 2015](#)). The PMA was based, in part, on two prospective clinical studies: the Melody TPV Long-term Follow-up Post Approval Study (PAS) and the Melody TPV New Enrollment PAS. The Melody TPV and Ensemble TVD System received PMA (P140017) on January 27, 2015 for use as an adjunct to surgery in the management of pediatric and adult patients with the following clinical conditions:

- Existence of a full (circumferential) RVOT conduit that was equal to or greater than 16 mm in diameter when originally implanted, and
- Dysfunctional RVOT conduits with a clinical indication for intervention, and either:
 - Regurgitation: \geq moderate regurgitation, or
 - Stenosis: mean RVOT gradient \geq 35 mmHg.

Molina Clinical Policy

Transcatheter Pulmonary Valve Replacement: Policy No. 148

Last Approval: 12/14/2022

Next Review Due By: December 2023



In February 2017, approval of the Melody system was expanded to include patients with a dysfunctional surgical bioprosthetic valve (valve-in-valve).

SAPIEN XT. The Edwards SAPIEN XT Transcatheter Heart Valve and accessories (Edwards Lifesciences) received FDA approval for pulmonary valve use through the PMA process on February 29, 2016. The Edwards Sapien XT Transcatheter Heart Valve (Pulmonic) is composed of a stainless-steel frame with bovine pericardial tissue leaflets and available in 23- and 26-mm sizes. It includes a delivery accessories system. The Edwards SAPIEN was originally approved for aortic valve use in 2011. Approval was based on clinical evidence from the Congenital Multicenter trial of Pulmonic valve regurgitation studying the SAPIEN Interventional (COMPASSION) THV trial (NCT00676689). According to the PMA approval order, this device is indicated for use in pediatric and adult patients with the following clinical conditions:

- A dysfunctional, non-compliant RVOT conduit with a clinical indication for intervention and either:
 1. Regurgitation: \geq moderate regurgitation, and/or
 2. Stenosis: mean RVOT gradient \geq 35 mmHg.

Harmony. The Harmony Transcatheter Pulmonary Valve (Medtronic) received breakthrough technology status in 2019 and PMA in March 2021. It is first non-surgical heart valve to treat pediatric and adult patients with a native or surgically repaired RVOT to stop severe pulmonary valve regurgitation caused by CHD. Harmony TPV is composed of self-expanding nitinol wire struts, a knitted polyester fabric graft, and a porcine pericardial tissue valve. It includes a delivery accessories system and is indicated for use in the management of pediatric and adult patients with severe PR (i.e., severe PR as determined by echocardiography and/or pulmonary regurgitant fraction $>$ 30% as determined by cardiac magnetic resonance imaging) who have a native or surgically repaired right ventricular outflow tract and are clinically indicated for surgical pulmonary valve replacement.

Refer to 'Supplemental Information' section of policy for the 'Regulatory Status of TPVI Devices' (Table 1).

COVERAGE POLICY

TPVI using an FDA approved valve is considered medically necessary for congenital heart disease and current right ventricular outflow tract obstruction (RVOT) or regurgitation when **ALL** of the following criteria are met:

1. Existence of a full (circumferential) RVOT conduit that was equal to or greater than 16 mm in diameter when originally implanted; **AND**
2. Definitive diagnosis of **ONE** of the following:
 - a. Dysfunctional RVOT conduit with a clinical indication for intervention, and **EITHER** of the following:
 - Moderate or greater pulmonic regurgitation; **OR**
 - Pulmonic stenosis with a mean RVOT gradient greater or equal to 35 mmHg.
 - OR**
 - b. Dysfunctional non-conduit, patch repaired RVOT

LIMITATIONS AND EXCLUSIONS

PPVI are **contraindicated and may not be authorized** if **ANY** of the following circumstances are present:

1. History of endocarditis or other active infection within 6 months of PPVI
2. RVOT size is not appropriate for stent valve delivery (size range depends on the valve system)
3. Venous occlusions that do not permit percutaneous femoral or jugular vein access
4. Vessel size and characteristics in which the placement of a 22- to 24-Fr introducer sheath would not be safe
5. Morphology of the RVOT does not permit a percutaneous approach
6. Presence of coronary artery compression
7. Weight $<$ 30 kg
8. Pregnancy

The following are considered **experimental, investigational and unproven** based on insufficient evidence:

1. Any indications other than those listed above

Molina Clinical Policy

Transcatheter Pulmonary Valve Replacement: Policy No. 148

Last Approval: 12/14/2022

Next Review Due By: December 2023



Exceptions (case-by-case review): There are rare patients without CHD who may have pulmonary valve dysfunction requiring replacement. Native outflow tract pulmonary valve implantation with balloon-expandable valves while considered off-label may be considered appropriate as an alternative to surgery in some cases and may be an exception. There may also be cases where the exclusion criteria are not met but a multidisciplinary cardiology team may determine that TPVI is favorable in exceptional scenarios.

These exceptional cases require the following as deemed appropriate by the Molina clinical reviewer: a peer-to-peer with a Molina Medical Director, consult with multidisciplinary cardiology team, and relevant clinical documentation(s) or supporting evidence to assess whether a TPVI is favorable in an individual member's case.

DOCUMENTATION REQUIREMENTS. Molina Healthcare reserves the right to require that additional documentation be made available as part of its coverage determination; quality improvement; and fraud; waste and abuse prevention processes. Documentation required may include, but is not limited to, patient records, test results and credentials of the provider ordering or performing a drug or service. Molina Healthcare may deny reimbursement or take additional appropriate action if the documentation provided does not support the initial determination that the drugs or services were medically necessary, not investigational or experimental, and otherwise within the scope of benefits afforded to the member, and/or the documentation demonstrates a pattern of billing or other practice that is inappropriate or excessive.

SUMMARY OF MEDICAL EVIDENCE

Registration trials for FDA approval, short case series, and cohort studies are included in the published literature on TPVI. The Melody valve is the most extensively researched TPV with the largest body of clinical evidence.

Melody TPV and the Ensemble[®] Transcatheter Valve Delivery System are used in conjunction to replace a dysfunctional pulmonary valve percutaneously. A section of bovine jugular vein with an intact native venous valve serves as the Melody valve. A platinum-iridium stent scaffolding is used to suture the valve and surrounding tissue. The transcatheter delivery system is composed of a balloon-in-balloon catheter with a retractable sheath and distal cup into which the valve is positioned. The procedure is performed without the use of cardiopulmonary bypass on a beating heart. First, the Melody valve is crimped to fit the delivery system. It is inserted via the femoral vein, progressed into the right side of the heart, and positioned at the pulmonary valve site. The inner balloon is inflated to open the artificial valve, followed by the outer balloon to secure the valve in place.

The multicenter U.S. Melody TPV trial was a prospective, uncontrolled study conducted to evaluate the Melody TPV's short-term effectiveness, procedural efficacy, and safety (McElhinney et al. 2010; Zahn et al. 2009). The Summary of Safety and Probable Benefit (SSPB) to support a humanitarian device exemption to market the Melody TPV was based on clinical data from 99 subjects who were catheterized for potential TPV implantation from January 2007 to December 2008, with expected follow-up and adverse event data current through March 2009 (FDA Summary of Safety and Effectiveness Data: Melody TPV, 2015). RVOT dysfunction, defined as moderate or higher pulmonic regurgitation or mean gradient <35 mm Hg, was approved. In addition, when initially implanted, a circumferential RVOT conduit with a diameter of 16 mm or greater should exist.

US Investigational Device Exemption (IDE) Study

The multicenter US Melody TPV trial is a prospective uncontrolled trial from 5 clinical sites intended to evaluate the safety, procedural success, and short-term efficacy of the transcatheter pulmonary valve Melody (McElhinney et al. 2010; Zahn et al. 2009). Beginning in January 2007, Melody TPV was implanted in 150 patients at five sites in the United States for the treatment of RVOT dysfunction according to the IDE approach. In January 2010, enrollment in the U.S. Melody Valve IDE trial closed, and the Melody valve was approved for installation in defective RVOT catheters as palliative therapy designed to delay surgical intervention (McElhinney et al., 2011).

Zahn et al. (2009) published data on 30 patients with a success rate of 29 Melody valve implantations in the Melody U.S. Clinical Trial. This study assessed the safety, procedural success, and short-term efficacy of the Melody transcatheter pulmonary valve in patients with defective right ventricular outflow tract conduits. Between January and September 2007, 34 patients had catheterization for Melody valve implantation at three sites. 29 of 30 implants were effective, and four individuals were not implanted. 19.4 ± 7.7 was the mean age. Doppler mean gradient was 28.8 ± 10.1 mm Hg, and 94% of patients had moderate or severe PR. One distal pulmonary artery guidewire perforation, one conduit rupture, and one broad complicated tachycardia occurred. Peak systolic conduit gradient decreased to 17.3 ± 7.3 mm Hg. PR was mild in all cases. At six months, conduit Doppler mean gradient was 22.4 ± 8.1 mm Hg, and PR

Molina Clinical Policy

Transcatheter Pulmonary Valve Replacement: Policy No. 148

Last Approval: 12/14/2022

Next Review Due By: December 2023



fraction as determined by magnetic resonance imaging was dramatically improved ($3.3 \pm 3.6\%$ vs. $27.6 \pm 13.3\%$, $p < 0.0001$). 8 of 29 implants fractured stents. Three of these patients had recurring stenosis and received a second Melody valve. The authors concluded that experienced operators can safely and effectively implant the Melody valve for RVOT conduit dysfunction. During the trial follow-up, 100% of patients were free of additional procedures, and 79% of the 24 NYHA functional class II patients improved. This trial was followed by another that led to FDA clearance of the Melody prosthesis under the HDE clause. The study authors stated that a longer follow-up and more patient experience are needed to determine this therapy's decisive role in conduit dysfunction treatment.

McElhinney et al. (2010) conducted a multicenter trial of 136 patients (median age, 19 years) having undergone catheterization for Melody valve implantation. Implantation was attempted in 124 patients. TPV placement was not attempted in the other 12 due to coronary artery compression ($n=6$) or other clinical or protocol contraindications. After conduit rupture, 1 valve was explanted and 1 died. Before implantation, the median peak RVOT gradient was 37 mmHg. Before implantation, 92 patients had moderate or severe PR. No patient had more than mild PR early after implantation or during follow-up. At 14 months, $77.8 \pm 4.3\%$ were stent fracture-free. Valve dysfunction or reintervention was $93.5 \pm 2.4\%$ at 1 year. Shorter freedom from dysfunction was associated with higher RVOT gradient at discharge and younger age. The results showed good short-term valve function and a high procedural success rate. This series of re-interventions for RVOT obstruction emphasizes patient selection, adequate obstruction relief, and stent fracture prevention and management (NCT00740870).

McElhinney et al. (2011) reported patient-related and procedural risk factors in the US Melody Valve Trial. The Melody valve IDE trial implanted TPVs in 150 patients (median age, 19 years) from January 2007 to January 2010. In 37 patients (25%, fractured in 12), conduit stents from a prior catheterization were present, and 51 patients received one or more new pre-stents at the TPV implant catheterization. Melody stent fracture (MSF) was found in 39 patients during follow-up (median, 30 months). At 14 months (after the 1-year evaluation window), $77 \pm 4\%$ were MSF-free, and at 39 months, $60 \pm 9\%$ were (3-year window). On multivariable analysis, implant within an existing stent, new pre-stent, or bioprosthetic valve (combined variable) was associated with longer freedom from MSF ($P < 0.001$), while TPV compression ($P=0.01$) and apposition to the anterior chest wall ($P=0.02$) were associated with shorter freedom from MSF. RVOT reintervention-free at 27 months was $86 \pm 4\%$. At 2 years, $49 \pm 10\%$ of MSF patients did not need RVOT reintervention. Reintervention factors resembled MSF's. MSF was common after TPV implant in this multicenter study, especially in patients with severely obstructed RVOT conduits and when the TPV was directly behind the anterior chest wall and/or compressed. MSF and reintervention were lower in TPV implant sites protected by pre-stents or bioprosthetic valves.

The trial was originally intended to follow patients for 5 years after implantation or until ex-plantation, but it was modified in 2011 to allow patients who provided supplemental written informed consent to be followed for up to 10 years (Cheatham, et al., 2015).

Cheatham et al. (2015) reported on outcomes up to 7 years after TPVI for the 148 patients in the U.S. Melody TPV study who received and were discharged with TPVs (of 171 patients enrolled). 167 patients were catheterized, 150 had Melody valves placed, and 148 survived to discharge. At discharge, 140 patients had no PR and 5 had mild PR on echocardiography. 4 deaths occurred during a median follow-up of 4.5 years (range, 0.4-7.0 years). In the follow-up period, 32 patients needed RVOT reinterventions, 25 of which were TPV. Melody valve ex-plantation was needed in 11 individuals. The RVOT gradient was constant from early after valve implantation in 113 patients who were alive and reintervention-free at a median of 4.5 years post-implantation. 14% of patients were in NYHA class I before TPVI, while 17% were in class III or IV. At each annual post-implantation evaluation, at least 74% of patients were in class I and 1% to 2% were in class III or IV.

Melody TPV Post Approval Study (PAS)

Armstrong et al. (2014) published 1-year follow-up results of the Melody TPVI PAS to assess short-term hemodynamic changes after device implantation. The prospective, non-randomized study used historical controls from the Melody IDE trial to determine whether the device's short-term effectiveness was noninferior to the IDE trial results. The study included 120 participants, 101 of whom attempted TPVI. The IDE trial criteria were used for patient selection, but the age (5 years old) and weight (30 kg) limitations were not included. A confined conduit tear was the most common procedure-related significant AE in 16 patients (13.3% of the total cohort of 120; 15.8% of those who had an attempted TPVI). At one year, the proportion of patients with NYHA class I heart failure increased from 35% to 89%. Of the 99 patients who had been implanted for at least 24 hours, 87 had acceptable TPV hemodynamic function confirmed at 6

Molina Clinical Policy

Transcatheter Pulmonary Valve Replacement: Policy No. 148

Last Approval: 12/14/2022

Next Review Due By: December 2023



months (96.7% of those with evaluable echocardiographic data, 87.9% of the entire cohort), and 82 had acceptable TPV hemodynamic function confirmed at 1 year (94.3% of those with evaluable echocardiographic data, 82.8% of the entire cohort). Following the procedure, 8% of patients experienced serious device-related AEs, the most common of which was endocarditis (n=3).

Gillespie et al. (2015) retrospectively reviewed data of TPVI after a Ross procedure from the Melody TPV trial and post-approval study and an additional European registry, the manufacturer-sponsored Melody TPV Post-Market Surveillance Study, conducted in Canada and Europe (NCT00688571). A prior Ross procedure was performed on 67 (19%) of the pooled sample (N=358). In 56 of 67 (84%) of the Ross patients who underwent catheterization with the intent of TPVI, a Melody valve was successfully implanted. After TPVI, six patients (9%) had symptomatic coronary artery compression or did not undergo implantation due to compression risk. After TPVI, RV hemodynamics improved, however 12 of 55 patients discharged with the Melody valve needed RVOT reinterventions.

Additional Studies

Eicken et al. (2011) published the results of 102 consecutive PPVIs done between 2006 and 2010 at two centers in Germany. The average age of a patient was 21.5 years old. Sixty-one patients had undergone surgical correction of a Tetralogy of Fallot/pulmonary atresia with ventricular septal defect, and 14 had a common arterial trunk; the remaining patients had undergone surgical treatment for transposition of the great arteries (n=9), aortic stenosis (n=8), or a variety of other cardiac lesions (n=10). The bulk of conduits (79) utilized in earlier surgeries were homografts. Immediately following the surgery, the median peak systolic RVOT gradient between the right ventricle and pulmonary artery fell from 37 mmHg (29-46 mmHg) to 14 mmHg (9-17 mmHg, $p < 0.001$). MRI-measured PR decreased from a median of 16% (5–26%) to 1% (0–2%, $p < 0.001$). In addition, the median RV-volume index reduced considerably ($p = 0.001$). Compression of the left coronary artery caused the death of one patient. At a median follow-up of 357 days (99–388 days), the mean RVOT doppler gradient reduced from 36 mmHg (26-44 mmHg) pre-procedure to 15 mmHg (12–20 mmHg) at the most recent follow-up ($p < 0.0001$). The authors concluded that interventionalists with experience in structural heart disease can perform PPVI on patients with RVOT dysfunction. To demonstrate sustained benefit, however, medium- and long-term follow-up must be studied. It remains to be determined if the hemodynamic benefits are sustainable and if the goal of reducing the number of cardiothoracic procedures over the patient's lifespan can be attained.

There are case series with smaller patient populations ranging from 7 to 64 that have reported results that are generally similar to the larger series, with high procedural success and relatively low rates of serious complications (Khambadkone et al. 2005; Momenah et al. 2009; Nordmeyer et al. 2006; Nordmeyer et al. 2008; Vezmar M et al. 2010; Muller et al. 2014; Butera et al. 2013; Fraise et al. 2014). Borik et al., who evaluated 51 patients who had TPVI with the Melody valve at a single institution, reported the longest follow-up duration of 4.5 years (mean; range: 0.9-6.9 years), freedom from any reintervention was 87% at 3 and 68% at 5 years, and freedom from surgery was 90% at 5 years. Overall, RV functional parameters remained stable over time with longer follow-up.

Hasan et al. (2011) reported on the immediate and short-term outcomes of Melody valves implanted in a high-pressure environment. Melody valves implanted in the systemic (i.e., aortic or mitral position) and pulmonary (i.e., right ventricular outflow tract conduit or tricuspid valve annulus) circulations were defined as high-pressure systems. Implants in these environments were identified using data from the five centers that took part in the U.S. IDE trial. Thirty implants met the criteria for inclusion: 23 pulmonary circulation implants (all in the pulmonary position) and nine systemic circulation implants (5 in the native aortic position, 1 in a left ventricle-to-descending aorta conduit, and 1 in the mitral annulus). In the catheterization laboratory, all pulmonary circulation implants were performed percutaneously. For four of the aortic implants, a hybrid approach (surgical exposure for transcatheter implant) was used. There were no deaths as a result of the procedure. Nonprocedural and nonvalve-related causes killed three patients. At one year, there was complete freedom from moderate to severe regurgitation and 90% freedom from mild regurgitation. At one year, 86% of patients were free of moderate to severe stenosis. The authors concluded that the Melody valve's short-term performance in high-pressure environments is encouraging, with good valve function in all patients. The Melody valve may be a viable option for transcatheter therapy in pediatric patients who are not surgical candidates for high-pressure valve replacement.

Lurz et al. (2011) published the findings of an early versus late functional outcome after successful PPVI. The study included 65 patients with long-term hemodynamic effects from PPVI. Pre-procedural subgroups of predominant pulmonary stenosis (PS) (n = 35) or predominant PR (n = 30) were established. Magnetic resonance imaging and

cardiopulmonary exercise testing data were compared before, 1 month after, and 12 months after PPVI. Early after PPVI, both groups experienced a significant drop in right ventricular end-diastolic volume. Only in PS did the right ventricular ejection fraction improve early. Magnetic resonance parameters in neither group changed late after intervention. The PS group's peak oxygen uptake improved early but not late. In the PR group, peak oxygen uptake did not alter from early to late. The authors concluded that a prolonged phase of maintained cardiac function is observed in patients with a sustained hemodynamic result one year after PPVI. However, beyond the acute effects of PPVI, there is no evidence of further positive functional remodeling.

Boudjemline et al. (2012) analyzed the Melody valve in patients with hemodynamically significant isolated PR. The authors investigated the procedural and short-term outcomes of 13 patients who had Melody valve implantation for a large RVOT with significant PR as the primary lesion. All procedures were carried out successfully. Following the procedure, the average follow-up period was 30 +/- 4 months. Stent fracture, migration, or embolization were not observed. Only one patient who used the jailing technique experienced a significant paraprosthetic leak and is scheduled for Melody valve redilatation. To modify the RVOT for transcatheter valve implantation, the authors concluded that careful patient selection, balloon sizing, and RVOT preparation with pre-stenting using the Russian dolls technique and/or the PA jailing technique were required. A short-term follow-up showed competent valves with no stent fracture or migration, suggesting that the procedure is promising. Standardizing the procedure in such a subset of individuals could require more extensive expertise with long-term outcomes.

Adverse Events (AEs)

Butera et al. (2013) conducted a prospective, observational, multicenter, web-based registry study of PPVI with the Melody valve. This study was performed out through the registry of the Italian Society of Pediatric Cardiology (SICP). Between 2007 and 2010, 63 participants participated in the registry study (median age: 24 years; range 11-65 years). PPVI appears to have strong procedural and mid-term success and may delay surgery in over 80% of patients. Transcatheter Melody pulmonary valve implantation is safe and effective, according to early SICP registry results. Valve failure occurred in nearly 20% of follow-up patients. Authors recommended extended follow-up and larger series.

Amat-Santos et al. (2015) assessed the incidence, characteristics, predisposing factors, and outcomes of prosthetic valve endocarditis (PVE) after transcatheter aortic and PPVI valve replacement from 2000 to 2013. In 28 articles (n=60; 32 aortic valve, 28 pulmonary valve), aortic valve patients had a high logistic EuroSCORE of 30.4 ± 14.0. Melody was given to all pulmonary valve patients and Sapien/Sapien XT or CoreValve to aortic valve patients. Only aortic valve patients had in-hospital problems, and antibiotic prophylaxis data was lacking. Aortic valve patients were 80 ± 7 years old, while pulmonary valve patients were 19 ± 6 years old. In 71.9% of aortic valve replacement patients, PVE was found in the transcatheter valve, compared to 100% in the PPVI group. The median period between valve replacement and infective endocarditis was 5 months (IQR, 2 to 9 months). Enterococci were most common in the aortic group (34.4%) and Staphylococcus aureus in the pulmonary group (29.4%). 60% of aortic valve patients with PVE were handled medically, although valve ex-plantation rates ranged from 23% to 57% and in-hospital mortality was 34.4%. Seventy-five percent of pulmonary valve patients were surgically treated, with 7.1% in-hospital mortality.

Virk et al. (2015) published clinical outcomes from 12 observational studies (n=677) for periprocedural mortality (death within 30 days following PPVI), complications, and independence from RVOT reintervention. Analysis employed DerSimonian-Laird random-effects. 9 Melody, 2 Sapien, and 1 both device studies were conducted. Pooled periprocedural mortality was 1.4% (95% CI, 0.7% to 2.8%), while complication rates were low and included coronary artery compression (1.2%; 95%, 0.6 to 2.5), pulmonary artery obstruction (1.2%; 0.5% to 2.6%), valve embolization (2.4%; 1.3% to 4.3%), and conduit rupture (2.6%; 1.5% to 4.3%). 2.8% of patients underwent open surgery (95% CI, 1.7%–4.6%). Stent fracture was 12.4% (95% CI, 7.6% to 19.6%) and infective endocarditis 4.9% (95%, 3.2% to 7.6%) at latest follow-up. The freedom from RVOT reintervention ranged from 100% at 4 months to 70% at 70 months.

Edwards Sapien XT Transcatheter Heart Valve (Pulmonic)

COngenital Multicenter trial of Pulmonic vAlve regurgitation Studying the SAPIEN InterventIOal THV (COMPASSION). The study is a prospective, non-randomized, multicenter center study assessed the safety and effectiveness of pulmonic implantation of the SAPIEN THV in patients with dysfunctional RVOT conduit requiring treatment for moderate or severe PR by TTE and/or RVOT conduit obstruction with a mean gradient of 35 mmHg or higher by TTE. Patients were treated between April 2008 and November 2014. The database supplement reflects data collected through March 2015 and includes 81 patients. Data from this clinical study were the basis for the PMA decision for the pulmonary valve implantation indication. Last Update Posted: February 26, 2020: ([NCT00676689](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/NCT00676689)).

Kenny et al. (2018) reported 3-year outcomes of COMPASSION (Congenital Multicenter Trial of Pulmonic Valve Regurgitation Studying the SAPIEN Transcatheter Heart Valve) study. SAPIEN transcatheter heart valves were implanted in moderate-to-severe PR and RVOT conduit blockage patients. At the 3-year follow-up, 69 implantations in 81 patients accounted for 57 of 63 eligible individuals. Pulmonary stenosis (7.6%), regurgitation (12.7%), or both (79.7%) indicated implantation. 93.5% improved in NYHA functional class. Peak conduit gradient reduced from 37.5 ± 25.4 to 17.8 ± 12.4 mmHg, and mean right ventricular systolic pressure decreased from 59.6 ± 17.7 to 42.9 ± 13.4 . 91.1% had mild PR. The SAPIEN valve had reduced rates of all-cause mortality, reintervention, endocarditis, and stent fractures at 3 years in patients with moderate to severe PR and/or RVOT conduit obstruction. 98.4% were all-cause mortality-free at 3 years. At 3 years, 93.7% were reintervention-free and 97.1% endocarditis-free. Stents were unbroken. At 3-year follow-up, Edwards SAPIEN THV TPV replacement showed good valve performance and clinical outcomes.

Harmony Transcatheter Heart Valve

The FDA assessed the safety and effectiveness of the Harmony TPV device through a prospective, non-randomized, multi-center clinical study. The Harmony TPV was implanted in 70 individuals in the study (FDA Summary of Safety and Effectiveness, 2021). 70 individuals with severe PR and a clinical justification for pulmonary artery conduit or prosthetic valve surgery participated in the experiment. 20 were in the feasibility phase, 31 in the pivotal phase with the current TPV 22 and TPV 25 devices, and 19 in the pivotal cohort with an earlier version (cTPV 25). Technical success was achieved in 95.7% of implantations, and 89.2% of patients met the clinical criterion of satisfactory hemodynamic function at 6 months without reintervention. At 6 months, 1.7% of patients had severe PR, down from 84.4% at baseline. 4 out of 70 patients (5.7%) needed TPV ex-plantation, 2 of whom were in the feasibility phase and 2 with a previous device. No explants or deaths occurred with the existing devices in the crucial research. The 36-item short form survey showed the greatest improvement in physical functioning and role restrictions owing to physical health. All patients were scheduled for follow-up exams at the start of the trial, at implant operation, discharge, and post-implant at 1-month, 6-months, and annually for five years. As part of the post-approval study, the follow-up is now 10 years. No procedure- or device-related death within 30 days after the implant was the primary safety endpoint, which 100% of patients met. At 6 months, the key efficacy outcome was proportion of patients with no additional surgical or interventional procedures linked to the device and adequate heart blood flow function. The primary efficacy endpoint was met by 89.2% of patients with echocardiography data. AEs observed during the clinical trial included irregular or abnormal heart rhythms (23.9%, including 14.1% ventricular tachycardia), leakage around the valve (8.5%, including 1.4% major leakage), minor bleeding (7.0%), pulmonary valve narrowing (4.2%), and implant movement (4.2%).

Systematic Reviews

Ribeiro et al. (2020) conducted a meta-analysis and systematic review of 18 nonrandomized comparative studies of SPVI and TPVI. There were no RCTs identified. There were no significant differences in age or gender between the groups, but there were significant differences in anatomic and functional characteristics. Patients undergoing transcatheter pulmonary valve replacement (TPVR) were more likely to have pulmonary stenosis (29% vs 12%), whereas those undergoing SPVR were more likely to have PR (57% vs 22%). There were significant numerical differences in the presence of a native ventricle outflow tract/transannular patch (TPVR: 16%, SPVR: 60%), but this difference did not reach statistical significance. A meta-analysis found that the percutaneous approach reduced periprocedural complications (16.5% vs 41.3%, $p=.01$) and length of hospital stay (- 4.32 days), but it increased the risk of infective endocarditis (5.8% vs 2.7%). There were no significant differences in early mortality, late mortality, or the need for reintervention. The difference in baseline characteristics between the two groups, as well as the possibility of selection bias, limit interpretation. The authors noted that several patients underwent SPVR because they were not candidates for TPVR due to RVOT anatomy and/or other cardiac defects.

Chatterjee et al. (2017) conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies evaluating transcatheter pulmonary valve implantation. Nineteen studies ($n=1044$) with 5 or more patients and at least 6 months of follow-up were included (Note: Previously cited studies Cheatham et al. 2015, Armstrong et al. 2014, Butera et al. 2013 and Eicken et al. 2011 are included in this review). Thirteen studies used the Melody valve, three used the Edwards Sapien or Sapien XT valves, and three used both the Melody and Edwards valve systems. The procedural success rate was 96.2%, with a conduit rupture rate of 4.1% and a coronary complication rate of 1.3%. The authors reported favorable updated estimates of procedural and follow-up outcomes after transcatheter pulmonary valve implantation. The authors also stated that widespread use of pre-stenting has improved long-term outcomes in these patients.

Molina Clinical Policy
Transcatheter Pulmonary Valve Replacement: Policy No. 148

Last Approval: 12/14/2022
 Next Review Due By: December 2023



National and Specialty Organizations

The **American College of Cardiology (ACC)** and **American Heart Association (AHA)** and 6 other societies published comprehensive guidelines on the management of patients with CHD (Stout et al. 2018). Recommendations for treatment included pulmonary stenosis, PR and tetralogy of Fallot.

ACC/AHA Guidelines on the Management of Patients with Tetralogy of Fallot		
Recommendation	Strength of Recommendation	Level of Evidence
Pulmonary valve replacement (surgical or percutaneous) for relief of symptoms is recommended for patients with repaired tetralogy of Fallot and moderate or greater PR with cardiovascular symptoms not otherwise explained.	Strong	Non-randomized (moderate quality evidence)
Pulmonary valve replacement (surgical or percutaneous) is reasonable for preservation of ventricular size and function in asymptomatic patients with repaired tetralogy of Fallot and ventricular enlargement or dysfunction and moderate or greater PR.	Moderate	Non-randomized (moderate quality evidence)
Surgical pulmonary valve replacement may be reasonable for adults with repaired tetralogy of Fallot and moderate or greater PR with other lesions requiring surgical interventions.	Weak	Consensus of expert opinion
Pulmonary valve replacement, in addition to arrhythmia management, may be considered for adults with repaired tetralogy of Fallot and moderate or greater PR and ventricular tachyarrhythmia.	Weak	Consensus of expert opinion

International Guidelines

The **National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE)** published an Interventional Procedures Guidance (IPG436): *Percutaneous pulmonary valve implantation for right ventricular outflow tract dysfunction (2013)* which indicates that the evidence on PPVI for RVOT dysfunction shows good short-term efficacy. There is little evidence on long-term efficacy, but it is well documented that these valves may need to be replaced in the longer term. With regard to safety, there are well-recognized complications, particularly stent fractures in the longer term, which may or may not have clinical effects. Patients having this procedure are often in poor health and might otherwise need open heart surgery (typically re-operative) with its associated risks. The procedure should be performed only in specialist units and with arrangements in place for cardiac surgical support in the event of complications. Patient selection should be carried out by a multidisciplinary team including a cardiologist with a special interest in congenital heart disease, an interventional cardiologist, and a cardiothoracic surgeon with a special interest in CHD. Additionally, this is a technically challenging procedure that should only be performed by clinicians who have received training in interventional cardiology and CHD.

European Society of Cardiology (ESC). TPVI is an alternative to open heart surgery for patients with RVOT conduit stenosis/regurgitation, according to the ESC guidelines for the management of adult congenital heart disease. Transcatheter replacement, when technically feasible, provides outcomes comparable to surgical pulmonary valve replacement and is intended to extend the conduit's lifetime, thereby decreasing the number of reoperations over the lifetime of a patient (Baumgartner et al., 2020).

The **European Society of Cardiology (ESC) / Association for European Pediatric Cardiology (AEPC)** 2010 guidelines for the management of adult CHD include the following indications for surgical intervention or PPVI in patients with right ventricular to pulmonary artery conduits:

- Intervention is recommended in symptomatic patients with RV systolic pressure >60 mmHg (TR velocity >3.5 m/s; may be lower in cases with reduced flow) and/or moderate to severe pulmonic regurgitation.
- Intervention is suggested in asymptomatic patients with severe right ventricular outflow tract obstruction and/or severe pulmonic regurgitation when at least one of the following criteria is present:
 - Decrease in exercise capacity on cardiopulmonary exercise testing
 - Progressive RV dilation
 - Progressive RV systolic dysfunction
 - Progressive TR (at least moderate)
 - RV systolic pressure >80 mmHg (TR velocity >4.3 m/s)
 - Sustained atrial/ventricular arrhythmias

Molina Clinical Policy
Transcatheter Pulmonary Valve Replacement: Policy No. 148

Last Approval: 12/14/2022
 Next Review Due By: December 2023



SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Table 1. Regulatory Status of TPVI Devices

Device	Manufacturer	Date Approved	PMA No.	Indications
Melody® Transcatheter Pulmonary Valve (TPV)	Medtronic	Jan 2010	H080002 (HDE)	Pulmonary valve replacement for pediatric and adult patients with a dysfunctional, noncompliant RVOT conduit.
Melody® TPV	Medtronic	Jan 2015	P140017	Pulmonary valve replacement for pediatric and adult patients with a dysfunctional, noncompliant RVOT conduit.
Melody® TPV	Medtronic	Feb 2017	P140017/S005	Valve-in-valve for patients with a dysfunctional surgical bioprosthetic pulmonary valve.
SAPIEN XT™ Transcatheter Heart Valve (pulmonic)	Edwards Lifesciences	Feb 2016	P130009/S037	Pulmonary valve replacement for pediatric and adult patients with a dysfunctional, noncompliant RVOT conduit.
Harmony™ TPV	Medtronic	Mar 2021	P200046	Pulmonary valve for pediatric and adult patients with severe PR.

HDE: humanitarian device exemption; PMA: premarket approval; RVOT: right ventricular outflow tract.

CODING & BILLING INFORMATION

CPT Code

CPT	Description
33477	Transcatheter pulmonary valve implantation, percutaneous approach, including pre-stenting of the valve delivery site, when performed

HCPCS Codes – N/A

CODING DISCLAIMER. Codes listed in this policy are for reference purposes only and may not be all-inclusive. Deleted codes and codes which are not effective at the time the service is rendered may not be eligible for reimbursement. Listing of a service or device code in this policy does not guarantee coverage. Coverage is determined by the benefit document. Molina adheres to Current Procedural Terminology (CPT®), a registered trademark of the American Medical Association (AMA). All CPT codes and descriptions are copyrighted by the AMA; this information is included for informational purposes only. Providers and facilities are expected to utilize industry standard coding practices for all submissions. When improper billing and coding is not followed, Molina has the right to reject/deny the claim and recover claim payment(s). Due to changing industry practices, Molina reserves the right to revise this policy as needed.

APPROVAL HISTORY

12/14/2022	Policy review. No changes to coverage criteria. Updated references
12/8/2021	Policy reviewed and revised. IRO Peer Review: IRO reviews, 11/30/2021; 12/1/2021. Practicing physician board-certified in Pediatric Cardiology; Interventional Cardiology. Updated references, clinical studies and content of policy. Updates include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Added diagnosis of 'Dysfunctional non-conduit, patch-repaired RVOT' indication Added Harmony™ TPV (Medtronic) approved in March 2021 for the indication of pulmonary valve for pediatric and adult patients with severe pulmonary regurgitation Previous criteria were specific to Melody TPV (MedTronic) and Edwards SAPIEN™ XT Transcatheter Heart Valve; revised criteria to address TPVI devices Revised and updated 'Summary of Medical Evidence' section Added 'Table 1: Regulatory Status of TPVI Devices' in Supplemental Information section Added pregnancy to exclusion criteria Added exceptions statement for case-by-case review Assessed Sapien S3 valve for inclusion in medical necessity as percutaneous transcatheter pulmonary valve replacement, however its long-term effectiveness has not been established. References addressing Sapien S3 valve, including two studies reported off-label PPVI with the SAPIEN S3 valve with short follow-up, added to references section.
12/9/2020	Policy reviewed. No changes to coverage criteria. Updated references.
12/10/2019	Policy reviewed. Updated with inclusion of the Edwards Sapien XT Transcatheter Heart Valve FDA approval. Updated description of PPVI procedure, revised coverage criteria to include device specific criteria, updated contraindications section, added new references and clinical trial information and updated guideline information. IRO Peer Review: 10/13/2019. Practicing physician board certified in Pediatrics, Pediatric Cardiology.

Molina Clinical Policy

Transcatheter Pulmonary Valve Replacement: Policy No. 148

Last Approval: 12/14/2022

Next Review Due By: December 2023



7/10/2018	Policy reviewed. No changes to coverage criteria. Updated references.
9/19/2017	Policy reviewed. No changes to coverage criteria. Updated references.
11/2016	Policy reviewed. No changes to coverage criteria. Medical evidence summary and references sections updated.
12/16/2015	Policy reviewed. No changes.
10/30/2013	New policy. IRO Peer Review: 9/20/2013. Practicing physician board certified in Pediatrics, Pediatric Cardiology.

REFERENCES

Government Agencies

- Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS). Medicare coverage database (search: transcatheter pulmonary valve; pulmonary valve; Melody valve). Available from [CMS](#). Accessed December 2022
- ClinicalTrials.org. COMPASSION: COngenital Multicenter Trial of Pulmonic VALve Regurgitation Studying the SAPIEN InterventIOnal THV. NCT00676689. Accessed from [ClinicalTrials](#). First Received May 28, 2008. Updated February 2020.
- Food and Drug Administration (FDA)
 - Summary of safety and effectiveness: Harmony transcatheter pulmonary valve. Published 2021. Available from [FDA](#). Accessed December 2022.
 - Summary of safety and effectiveness data: Melody™ transcatheter pulmonary valve, models PB1016 and PB1018; Ensemble™ transcatheter valve delivery system 2017. Available from [FDA](#). Accessed December 2022.
 - Medtronic melody transcatheter pulmonary valve. P140017. New humanitarian device approval. Published 2015. Accessed from [FDA](#). Accessed December 2022.
 - Summary of safety and effectiveness data: Melody™ transcatheter pulmonary valve. Published 2015. Available from [FDA](#). Accessed December 2022.
 - Summary of safety and probable benefit: Melody transcatheter pulmonary valve and ensemble transcatheter valve delivery system. Published 2010. Available from [FDA](#). Accessed December 2022.
 - Premarket approval (PMA). Edwards SAPIEN XT™ transcatheter heart valve. P130009. Available from [FDA](#). Accessed December 2022.
- Food and Drug Administration Center for Devices and Radiological Health (CDRH). Premarket approval (PMA) database (search: NPV). Transcatheter heart/pulmonary valve. Available from [FDA](#). Accessed December 2022.

Peer Reviewed Publications

- Amat-Santos IJ, Ribeiro HB, Urena M, et al. Prosthetic valve endocarditis after transcatheter valve replacement: a systematic review. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2015;8(2):334-346. doi: 10.1016/j.jcin.2014.09.013. PMID: 25700757.
- Armstrong AK, Balzer DT, Cabalka AK, et al. One-year follow-up of the Melody transcatheter pulmonary valve multicenter post-approval study. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2014;7(11):1254-1262. doi: 10.1016/j.jcin.2014.08.002. Epub 2014 Nov 17. PMID: 25459038.
- Boudjemline Y, Brugada G, Van-Aerschot I et al. Outcomes and safety of transcatheter pulmonary valve replacement in patients with large patched right ventricular outflow tracts. *Arch Cardiovasc Dis.* 2012 Aug-Sep;105(8-9):404-13. doi: 10.1016/j.acvd.2012.05.002. Epub 2012 Jul 17. PMID: 22958883.
- Chatterjee A, Bajaj NS, McMahon WS, et al. Transcatheter pulmonary valve implantation: a comprehensive systematic review and meta-analyses of observational studies. *J Am Heart Assoc.* 2017 Aug 4;6(8):e006432.
- Cheatham JP, Hellenbrand WE, Zahn EM, et al. Clinical and hemodynamic outcomes up to 7 years after transcatheter pulmonary valve replacement in the US Melody valve investigational device exemption trial. *Circulation.* 2015;131(22):1960-1970. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.114.013588. Epub 2015 May 5. PMID: 25944758.
- Eiken A, Ewert P, Hager A, et al. Percutaneous pulmonary valve implantation: two-centre experience with more than 100 patients. *Eur Heart J.* 2011 May;32(10):1260-5. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehq520. Epub 2011 Jan 27. PMID: 21273201.
- Gillespie MJ, McElhinney DB, Kreutzer J, et al. Transcatheter pulmonary valve replacement for right ventricular outflow tract conduit dysfunction after the ross procedure. *Ann Thorac Surg.* Sep 2015; 100(3):996-1003. PMID 26190388.
- Hasan BS, McElhinney DB, Brown DW et al. Short-term performance of the transcatheter Melody valve in high-pressure hemodynamic environments in the pulmonary and systemic circulations. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2011 Dec 1;4(6):615-20. doi: 10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.111.963389. Epub 2011 Nov 9. PMID: 22075926.
- Hascoet S, Dalla Pozza R, et al. Early outcomes of percutaneous pulmonary valve implantation using the Edwards SAPIEN 3 transcatheter heart valve system. *EuroIntervention.* 2019 Jan 20;14(13):1378-1385. doi: 10.4244/EIJ-D-18-01035. PMID: 30418156.
- Kenny D, Rhodes JF et al. 3-year outcomes of the Edwards SAPIEN transcatheter heart valve for conduit failure in the pulmonary position from the COMPASSION multicenter clinical trial. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2018 Oct 8;11(19):1920-1929. doi: 10.1016/j.jcin.2018.06.001. PMID: 30286853
- McElhinney DB, Hellenbrand WE, Zahn EM, et al. Short- and medium-term outcomes after transcatheter pulmonary valve placement in the expanded multicenter US melody valve trial. *Circulation.* 2010 Aug 3;122(5):507-16. Epub 2010 Jul 19. PMID 20644013.
- McElhinney DB, Cheatham JP, Jones TK, et al. Stent fracture, valve dysfunction, and right ventricular outflow tract reintervention after transcatheter pulmonary valve implantation: patient-related and procedural risk factors in the US Melody Valve Trial. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* Dec 1 2011; 4(6):602-614. PMID 22075927.
- Ribeiro JM, Teixeira R, Lopes J, et al. Transcatheter versus surgical pulmonary valve replacement: A systemic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Thorac Surg.* Nov 2020; 110(5): 1751-1761. PMID 32268142.
- Vezmar M, Chaturvedi R, Lee KJ, et al. Percutaneous pulmonary valve implantation in the young 2-year follow-up. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2010 Apr;3(4):439-48. doi: 10.1016/j.jcin.2010.02.003. PMID: 20398873.
- Virk SA, Liou K, Chandrakumar D, Gupta S, Cao C. Percutaneous pulmonary valve implantation: a systematic review of clinical outcomes. *Int J Cardiol.* 2015;201:487-489. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2015.08.119. Epub 2015 Aug 18. PMID: 26313872.
- Zahn EM, Hellenbrand WE, Lock JE, McElhinney DB. Implantation of the melody transcatheter pulmonary valve in patients with a dysfunctional right ventricular outflow tract conduit early results from the U.S. Clinical trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* Oct 27, 2009;54(18):1722-1729. PMID 19850214

Molina Clinical Policy

Transcatheter Pulmonary Valve Replacement: Policy No. 148

Last Approval: 12/14/2022

Next Review Due By: December 2023



National and Specialty Organizations

1. American College of Cardiology (ACC), Lurz P, Nordmeyer J, Giardini A, et al. Early versus late functional outcome after successful percutaneous pulmonary valve implantation: Are the acute effects of altered right ventricular loading all we can expect? *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2011;57(6):724-731. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2010.07.056. Accessed December 2022.
2. European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Baumgartner H, De Backer J, Babu-Narayan SV, et al.; ESC Scientific Document Group. 2020 ESC Guidelines for the management of adult congenital heart disease. *Eur Heart J*. 2020 Aug 29;ehaa554. Accessed December 2022.
3. Baumgartner H, Bonhoeffer P, De Groot NM, et al. Task Force on the Management of Grown-up Congenital Heart Disease of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC); Association for European Paediatric Cardiology (AEPC); ESC Committee for Practice Guidelines (CPG). ESC Guidelines for the management of grown-up congenital heart disease (new version 2010). *Eur Heart J*. 2010 Dec;31(23):2915-57. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehq249. Epub 2010 Aug 27. PMID: 20801927. Accessed December 2022.
4. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Percutaneous pulmonary valve implantation for right ventricular outflow tract dysfunction Interventional procedures guidance [IPG436]. Published January 2013. Available from [NICE](#). Accessed December 2022.
5. ACC/AHA Task Force Members, Nishimura RA, Otto CM, Bonow RO, et al.
 - a. 2017 AHA/ACC focused update of the 2014 AHA/ACC guideline for the management of patients with valvular heart disease: A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines [correction appears in *Circulation*. 2014;130(13):e120]. *Circulation*. 129(23):e521-e643. Available [here](#). Accessed December 2022.
 - b. 2018 AHA/ACC guideline for the management of adults with congenital heart disease: A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology* 2018. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2018.08.1029. (Reaffirmed 2018 Aug). (Stout KK, et al.). Accessed December 2022.
 - c. 2018 AHA / ACC guideline for the management of adults with congenital heart disease: A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Circulation*. 2019;139:e698-e800. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000603. (Stout KK, et al.). Accessed December 2022.

Evidence Based Reviews and Publications

1. DynaMed. Registration and login required. Accessed December 2022.
 - a. Tetralogy of Fallot in infants and children. Available from [DynaMed](#).
 - b. Tetralogy of Fallot in adults. Available from [DynaMed](#).
2. MCG. Inpatient and surgical care: Optimal recovery guidelines – cardiac valve replacement or repair ORG: S-290 (ISC). Accessed December 2022. Registration and login required.
3. UpToDate. Accessed December 2022. Registration and login required.
 - a. Doyle T, Kavanaugh-McHugh A. Overview of the management of tetralogy of Fallot. Topic 5770 Version 53.0
 - b. Fratz S. Percutaneous pulmonic valve implantation. Topic 86481 Version 19.0.

Other Peer Reviewed and National Organization Publications (used in the development of this policy)

1. Biernacka EK, Rużyłło W, Demkow M, et al. Transcatheter pulmonary valve implantation in patients with right ventricular outflow tract dysfunction: early and mid-term results. *J Invasive Cardiol*. 2015b;27(6):E82-E89.
2. Bonhoeffer P, Boudjemline Y, Saliba Z, et al. Percutaneous replacement of pulmonary valve in a right-ventricle to pulmonary-artery prosthetic conduit with valve dysfunction. *Lancet* 2000;356:1403-1405.
3. Cools B, Budts W, Heying R, et al. Medium-term follow-up after percutaneous pulmonary valve replacement with the Melody valve. *IJC Heart & Vasculature*. 2015;7:92-97.
4. Fathallah M, Krasuski RA. Pulmonic valve disease: Review of pathology and current treatment options. *Current Cardiology Reports* 2017;19(11):108. DOI: 10.1007/s11886-017-0922-2.
5. Hascoet S, Dalla Pozza R et al. Early outcomes of percutaneous pulmonary valve implantation using the Edwards SAPIEN 3 transcatheter heart valve system. *Euro Intervention*. 2019 Jan 20;14(13):1378-1385.
6. Kreutzer J, Armstrong AK, Rome JJ, et al. Comparison of the investigational device exemption and post-approval trials of the Melody transcatheter pulmonary valve. *Catheter Cardiovasc Inter*. 2021 Aug 1;98(2):E262-E274. doi: 10.1002/ccd.29657. Epub 2021 Mar 29. PMID: 33780150.
7. Malekzadeh-Milani S, Ladouceur M, Patel M, et al. Incidence and predictors of Melody(R) valve endocarditis: A prospective study. *Arch Cardiovasc Dis*. Feb 2015; 108(2):97-106. PMID 25445752.
8. Plessis J et al. Edwards SAPIEN transcatheter pulmonary valve implantation: Results from a French registry. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2018 Oct 8;11(19):1909-1916.
9. Steinberg ZL, Jones TK et al. Early outcomes in patients undergoing transcatheter versus surgical pulmonary valve replacement. *Heart* 2017;103(18):1455-1460. DOI: 10.1136/heartjnl-2016-310776.
10. Van Dijck I, Budts W, Cools B, et al. Infective endocarditis of a transcatheter pulmonary valve in comparison with surgical implants. *Heart*. May 15 2015; 101(10):788-793. PMID 25539944.

Case Series

11. Borik S, Crean A, Horlick E, et al. Percutaneous pulmonary valve implantation: 5 years of follow-up: does age influence outcomes? *Circ Cardiovasc Interv*. Feb 2015; 8(2):e001745. PMID 25652317
12. Butera G, Milanese O, Spadoni I et al. Melody transcatheter pulmonary valve implantation. Results from the registry of the Italian Society of Pediatric Cardiology. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*. 2013 Feb;81(2):310-6. doi: 10.1002/ccd.24518. Epub 2012 Nov 14.
13. Fraisse A, Aldebert P, Malekzadeh-Milani S, et al. Melody (R) transcatheter pulmonary valve implantation: results from a French registry. *Arch Cardiovasc Dis*. Nov 2014; 107(11):607-614. PMID 25453718
14. Khambadkone S, Coats L, Taylor A, et al. Percutaneous pulmonary valve implantation in humans: results in 59 consecutive patients. *Circulation*. 2005; 112(8):1189-1197.
15. Momenah TS, El Oakley R, Al Najashi K, et al. Extended application of percutaneous pulmonary valve implantation. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2009; 53(20):1859-1863.
16. Muller J, Engelhardt A, Fratz S, et al. Improved exercise performance and quality of life after percutaneous pulmonary valve implantation. *Int J Cardiol*. May 15 2014; 173(3):388-392. PMID 24713459
17. Nordmeyer J, Coats L, Lurz P, et al. Percutaneous pulmonary valve-in-valve implantation: a successful treatment concept for early device failure. *Eur Heart J*. 2008; 29(6):810-815.

Molina Clinical Policy

Transcatheter Pulmonary Valve Replacement: Policy No. 148

Last Approval: 12/14/2022

Next Review Due By: December 2023



18. Nordmeyer J, Coats L, Bonhoeffer P. Current experience with percutaneous pulmonary valve implantation. *Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2006; 18(2):122-125.
19. Vezmar M, Chaturvedi R, Lee KJ, et al. Percutaneous pulmonary valve implantation in the young 2-year follow-up. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2010; 3(4):439-448.

Treatment of Non-Conduit, Patch-Repaired RVOT

20. Boshoff DE, Cools BL, Heying R, et al. Off-label use of percutaneous pulmonary valved stents in the right ventricular outflow tract: Time to rewrite the label? *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* 2013;81(6):987-995.
21. Cools B, Brown SC, Heying R, et al. Percutaneous pulmonary valve implantation for free pulmonary regurgitation following conduit-free surgery of the right ventricular outflow tract. *Int J Cardiol.* 2015;186:129-135.
22. Demkow M, Rużyłko W, Biernacka EK, et al. Percutaneous Edwards SAPIEN™ valve implantation for significant pulmonary regurgitation after previous surgical repair with a right ventricular outflow patch. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* 2014;83(3):474-481.
23. Esmaeili A, Bollmann S, Khalil M, et al. Percutaneous pulmonary valve implantation for reconstruction of a patch-repaired right ventricular outflow tract. *J Interv Cardiol.* 2018;31(1):106-111.
24. Grundmann S, Hoehn R, Kroll J, Grohmann J. Percutaneous pulmonary valve implantation in the patched right ventricular outflow tract 51 years after surgical repair for tetralogy of Fallot. *J Heart Valve Dis.* 2016;25(5):648-650.

Sapien 3

25. COMPASSION S3 - Evaluation of the SAPIEN 3 Transcatheter Heart Valve in Patients with Pulmonary Valve Dysfunction. ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT02744677. Available from <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02744677>. Accessed December 2022
26. Edwards SAPIEN 3 Transcatheter Heart Valve System with Edwards Commander Delivery System – P200015. Available from [FDA](#). Accessed December 2022.
27. Hascoet S, Dalla Pozza R, Bentham J, et al. Early outcomes of percutaneous pulmonary valve implantation using the Edwards SAPIEN 3 transcatheter heart valve system. *EuroIntervention* 2019; 14:1378.
28. Sinha S, Aboulhosn J, Asnes J, et al. Initial results from the off-label use of the SAPIEN S3 valve for percutaneous transcatheter pulmonary valve replacement: A multi-institutional experience. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv* 2019; 93:455.

APPENDIX

Reserved for State specific information. Information includes, but is not limited to, State contract language, Medicaid criteria and other mandated criteria.

Medicare National Coverage There is no national coverage determination (NCD) identified. In the absence of a national coverage determination, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.