

Subject: MRI Lower Extremity Joint (73721,73722, 73723)		Original Effective Date:
MRI Lower Extremity Non-Joint (73718, 73719, 73720)		12/13/17
Policy Number: MCR: 633	Revision Date(s): 11/20/18	
Review Date: 12/13/17, 12/13/18		

DISCLAIMER

This Molina Clinical Review (MCR) is intended to facilitate the Utilization Management process. It expresses Molina's determination as to whether certain services or supplies are medically necessary, experimental, investigational, or cosmetic for purposes of determining appropriateness of payment. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that this service or supply is covered (i.e., will be paid for by Molina) for a particular member. The member's benefit plan determines coverage. Each benefit plan defines which services are covered, which are excluded, and which are subject to dollar caps or other limits. Members and their providers will need to consult the member's benefit plan to determine if there are any exclusion(s) or other benefit limitations applicable to this service or supply. If there is a discrepancy between this policy and a member's plan of benefits, the benefits plan will govern. In addition, coverage may be mandated by applicable legal requirements of a State, the Federal government or CMS for Medicare and Medicaid members. CMS's Coverage Database can be found on the CMS website. The coverage directive(s) and criteria from an existing National Coverage Determination (NCD) or Local Coverage Determination (LCD) will supersede the contents of this Molina Clinical Review (MCR) document and provide the directive for all Medicare members.

DESCRIPTION OF PROCEDURE/SERVICE/PHARMACEUTICAL

MRI (Magnetic Resonance Imaging) is a non-X-ray (no ionizing radiation) imaging scan that uses a strong magnetic field and radiofrequency waves to produce detailed cross sectional views of soft tissues, bones and vascular structures. These cross sectional images can be reconstructed, rotated and displayed in many different planes. A MR scan can be performed either without (non-enhanced) or with (contrast enhanced) injection of gadolinium containing contrast material into a vein.

APPROVAL SUPPORT

For certain clinical situations ultrasound has been shown to have similar diagnostic accuracy when compared to MRI and can be considered in lieu of MRI imaging for evaluation. Ultrasound can be useful for evaluation of the ankle and knee. It is recommended that the ultrasound be completed at a facility competent in performing and interpreting musculoskeletal ultrasound studies. Ultrasound has the benefit of being portable, does not expose the patient to ionizing radiation, and has dynamic imaging capabilities.

In Children and adolescents, joint imaging is not necessarily subject to a failed course of conservative therapy. Early intervention may be appropriate.

Known tumor or mass

- Initial evaluation of a recently diagnosed cancer
- Follow up of a known tumor or mass after completion of treatment or with new signs/symptoms
- Surveillance of a known tumor or mass according to accepted clinical standards.

Suspected tumor or mass not confirmed as cancer

- Evaluation of an abnormality seen on x-ray or other imaging
- Evaluation of an abnormality on physical examination and initial evaluation with x-ray or ultrasound has been completed.

Evaluation of known or suspected infection

- Suspected osteomyelitis and initial x-ray has been completed

Evaluation of known or suspected fractures

- Suspected fracture and x-ray is non-diagnostic
- Evaluation of fracture involving the joint space

Pre/Post Procedural

- Pre-operative evaluation
- Post-operative for routine recommended follow up or for potential post-operative complications.
- A repeat study may be needed to help evaluate a patient's progress after treatment procedure intervention or surgery. The reason for the repeat study and that it will affect care must be clear.

Other

- Evaluation of suspected avascular necrosis (AVN) when initial x-ray is non-diagnostic
- Evaluation of known or suspected autoimmune disease and x-rays are non-diagnostic and there is consideration to change the treatment regimen. Imaging should be limited to the most symptomatic joint.
- Evaluation of osteochondral defects or osteochondritis dessicans
- Evaluation of an abnormality seen on other imaging and the diagnosis remains uncertain

Ankle Pain

** Conservative therapy consists of a combination of passive modalities such as rest, ice, activity modification, splinting or crutches, and active modalities such as physical therapy, a supervised home exercise program, and/or failed injections.*

- Initial x-ray has been performed and there has been at least 4 weeks of conservative therapy *
- Hemarthrosis – blood in the joint
- Locked ankle
- Suspected tarsal coalition
- Suspected tendon (Achilles, peroneal, posterior tibial) tear with findings of weakness, palpable abnormality of the tendon, or instability of the ankle
- Suspected ligament tear with instability on examination (drawer sign or laxity with varus/valgus testing) or with joint space widening on stress view x-rays
- MRI Arthrogram

Knee Pain

**Conservative therapy consists of a combination of passive modalities such as rest, ice, activity modification, splinting or crutches, and active modalities such as physical therapy, a supervised home exercise program, and/or failed injections.*

- Initial x-ray has been performed and there has been at least 4 weeks of conservative therapy *
- Hemarthrosis – blood in the joint
- Exam findings suggestive of a meniscal tear (McMurray, Apley, Steinman, Thessaly)
- Exam findings suggestive of an anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) or posterior cruciate ligament (PCL) tear (Lachman, Drawer Sign, Pivot Shift Test)
- Locked knee
- MRI arthrogram
- Pre-operative evaluation for sizing of custom knee replacements

Hip Pain

**Conservative therapy consists of a combination of passive modalities such as rest, ice, activity modification, splinting or crutches, and active modalities such as physical therapy, a supervised home exercise program, and/or failed injections.*

- Initial x-ray has been performed and there has been at least 4 weeks of conservative therapy *
- Hemarthrosis – blood in the joint
- Locked hip
- MRI arthrogram for evaluation of suspected labral tear. Clinical symptoms of hip pain and clicking or catching and positive FABER testing.

ADDITIONAL CRITICAL INFORMATION

The above medical necessity recommendations are used to determine the best diagnostic study based on a patient's specific clinical circumstances. The recommendations were developed using evidence based studies and current accepted clinical practices. Medical necessity will be determined using a combination of these recommendations as well as the patient's individual clinical or social circumstances.

- Tests that will not change treatment plans should not be recommended.
- Same or similar tests recently completed need a specific reason for repeat imaging.

REFERENCES USED FOR DETERMINATIONS

1. McCauley, T. R. (2005). MR imaging evaluation of the postoperative knee. *Radiology*, 234(1), 53-61. Retrieved from <http://radiology.rsna.org/content/234/1/53.full.pdf>.
2. Bennett DL, Daffner RH, Weissman BN, et al. Expert Panel on Musculoskeletal Imaging, American College of Radiology Appropriateness Criteria: non-traumatic knee pain. Accessed at http://www.acr.org/SecondaryMainMenuCategories/quality_safety/app_criteria/pdf/ExpertPanelonMusculoskeletalImaging/NonTraumaticKneePainDoc15.aspx. September 12, 2011.
3. New Zealand Guidelines Group, the diagnosis and management of soft tissue knee injuries; internal derangements, Accessed at

- http://www.acc.co.nz/PRD_EXT_CSMP/groups/external_communications/documents/guide/wcmz003523.pdf. January 21, 2008.
4. Anderson MW and Greenspan A, Stress fractures, *Radiology*, 1996; 199:1-12.
 5. Tuite MJ, Daffner RH, Weissman, Barbara N, et al, Expert Panel on Musculoskeletal Imaging, American College of Radiology Appropriateness Criteria, Acute trauma to the knee. Accessed at http://www.acr.org/SecondaryMainMenuCategories/quality_safety/app_criteria/pdf/ExpertPanelonMusculoskeletalImaging/AcuteTraumatothekneeDoc2.aspx. August 31, 2011.
 6. Boutry N, Morel M, Flipo R-M, et al, Early rheumatoid arthritis: a review of MRI and sonographic findings, *AJR*, 2007;189:1502-1509.
 7. McNally EG, Nasser KN, Dawson S, Goh LA. Role of magnetic resonance imaging in the clinical management of the acutely locked knee. *Skeletal Radiology*. 2002; 31(10):570-573.
 8. Wright AA, Hegedus EJ, Lenchik L, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of various imaging modalities for suspected lower extremity stress fractures: A systematic review with evidence-based recommendations for clinical practice. *Am J Sports Med*. 2016; 44(1):255-263.
 9. Bancroft LW. MR imaging of infectious processes of the knee. *Radiol Clin North Am*. 2007;45(6):931-941
 10. Frick MA, Wenger DE, Adkins M. MR Imaging of synovial disorders of the knee: an update. *Radiol Clin North Am* 2007; 45(6):1017-1031
 11. Kornaat PR, Bloem JL, Ceulemans RY, et al. Osteoarthritis of the knee: association between clinical features and MR Imaging findings. *Radiology* 2006; 239:811.
 12. Englund M, Guermazi A, Gale D, et al. Incidental meniscal findings on knee MRI in middle-aged and elderly persons. *N Engl J Med* 2008; 359:1108.
 13. Flemming DJ, Hash TW 2nd, Bernard SA, Brian P. MR Imaging assessment of arthritis of the knee. *Magn Reson Imaging Clin N Am* 2014; 22:703.
 14. Schwaiger B, Gersing A, Wamba J, et al. Can Signal Abnormalities Detected with MRI in Knee Articular Cartilage be used to Predict Development of Morphologic Cartilage Defects? 48 month Data from the Osteoarthritis Initiative. *Radiology* 2016; 281: 158-167
 15. George E, Tsipas S, Wozniak G. MRI of the Knee and Shoulder Performed before Radiography. *J Am College Radiology* 2014; 11:1053-1058
 16. Spence S, McAlister W, Reed B et al. A Multispecialty Collaboration to Reduce Unnecessary Imaging for Knee Osteoarthritis. *J Am College Radiology* 2016; 13; 1343-1346
 17. Mohankumar R, White L, Naraghi A. Review. Pitfalls and Pearls in MRI of the Knee. *American Journal of Roentgenology*. 2014;203:516-530
 18. Hyojeong G, Felix S. Chew. Current Concepts in Knee Replacement: Features and Imaging Assessment. *American Journal of Roentgenology*. 2013;201
 19. Morrison WB, Zoga AC, Daffner RH, et al, Expert Panel on Musculoskeletal Imaging, American College of Radiology Appropriateness Criteria- Soft Tissue Masses. Accessed at http://www.acr.org/SecondaryMainMenuCategories/quality_safety/app_criteria/pdf/ExpertPanelonMusculoskeletalImaging/SoftTissueMassesDoc19.aspx. February 6, 2010.
 20. Hartgerink P, Fessell DP, Jacobson JA, et al. Full- versus partial-thickness Achilles tendon tears: sonographic accuracy and characterization in 26 cases with surgical correlation. *Radiology* 2001; 220:406-412.
 21. Greene WB (Ed.). *Essentials of Musculoskeletal Care*. 3rd Ed. Rosemont, IL, American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons, 2005, pp.593-596; 606-609; 683.

22. Rosenberg ZS, Beltran J, and Bernardino JT, MR imaging of the ankle and foot, Radiographic, 2000; 20:S153-S179.
23. Soros B, Press J, Plasters C et al, The practical management of Achilles tendinopathy, Clin J Sport Med,2004; 14:40-44.
24. Griffith JF, Lau DT, Yeung DK, Wong MW. High-resolution MR imaging of talar osteochondral lesions with new classification. Skeletal Radiol. 2012; 41(4):387-399.
25. Cerezal L, Abascal F, Canga A, et al. MR imaging of ankle impingement syndromes. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2003; 181(2):551-559.
26. Linklater J, Hayter C, Dzung V, Imaging of Acute Capsuloligamentous Sports Injuries in the Ankle and Foot. Radiology, 2017; 283 (3): 644-662
27. LiMarzi G, Scherer K, Riachardson M et al, CT and MR Imaging of the Postoperative Ankle and Foot, Radiographics 2016: 36(6):1828-1848
28. Siriwanarangsun P, Won, C, Sheronda S, et al, Review. Advanced MRI Techniques for the Ankle, AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2017: 209 (3):511-524
29. Seebauer C, Bail H, Rump J, et al: Ankle Laxity: Stress Investigation under MRI Control, AJR Am J Roentgenol, 2013:201(3): 496-504
30. Mitchell MD, Kundel HL, Stenberg ME, et al, Avascular necrosis of the hip: comparison of MR, CT and scintigraphy, AJR, 1986; 147:67-71. Accessed at <http://www.ajronline.org/content/147/1/67.long>.
31. Toomayan GA, Holman WR, Kozlowicz, SM, et al, Sensitivity of MR Arthrography in the evaluation of acetabular labral tears, AJR, 2006; 186:449-453.

CODING INFORMATION: THE CODES LISTED IN THIS POLICY ARE FOR REFERENCE PURPOSES ONLY. LISTING OF A SERVICE OR DEVICE CODE IN THIS POLICY DOES NOT IMPLY THAT THE SERVICE DESCRIBED BY THIS CODE IS COVERED OR NON-COVERED. COVERAGE IS DETERMINED BY THE BENEFIT DOCUMENT. THIS LIST OF CODES MAY NOT BE ALL INCLUSIVE.

CPT	Description
73721	MRI (Magnetic Resonance Imaging) Lower Extremity (leg) Joint without contrast MRI (Magnetic Resonance Imaging) Lower Extremity (leg) Joint without contrast)
73722	MRI (Magnetic Resonance Imaging) Lower Extremity (leg) Joint with contrast MRI (Magnetic Resonance Imaging) Lower Extremity (leg) Joint with contrast)
73723	MRI (Magnetic Resonance Imaging) Lower Extremity (leg) Joint without and with contrast MRI (Magnetic Resonance Imaging) Lower Extremity (leg) Joint without and with contrast)
73718	MRI (Magnetic Resonance Imaging) Lower Extremity (leg) without contrast MRI (Magnetic Resonance Imaging) Lower Extremity (leg) without contrast)
73719	MRI (Magnetic Resonance Imaging) Lower Extremity (leg) with contrast MRI (Magnetic Resonance Imaging) Lower Extremity (leg) with contrast)
73719	MRI (Magnetic Resonance Imaging) Lower Extremity (leg) with contrast MRI (Magnetic Resonance Imaging) Lower Extremity (leg) with contrast)