

Subject: CT [Computed Tomography] Angiography Heart with 3D Image CCTA [Coronary Computed Tomography Angiography] (75574)		Original Effective Date: 12/13/17
Policy Number: MCR: 647	Revision Date(s): 12/12/18	
Review Date: 12/13/2017, 12/19/18		

DISCLAIMER

This Molina Clinical Review (MCR) is intended to facilitate the Utilization Management process. It expresses Molina's determination as to whether certain services or supplies are medically necessary, experimental, investigational, or cosmetic for purposes of determining appropriateness of payment. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that this service or supply is covered (i.e., will be paid for by Molina) for a particular member. The member's benefit plan determines coverage. Each benefit plan defines which services are covered, which are excluded, and which are subject to dollar caps or other limits. Members and their providers will need to consult the member's benefit plan to determine if there are any exclusion(s) or other benefit limitations applicable to this service or supply. If there is a discrepancy between this policy and a member's plan of benefits, the benefits plan will govern. In addition, coverage may be mandated by applicable legal requirements of a State, the Federal government or CMS for Medicare and Medicaid members. CMS's Coverage Database can be found on the CMS website. The coverage directive(s) and criteria from an existing National Coverage Determination (NCD) or Local Coverage Determination (LCD) will supersede the contents of this Molina Clinical Review (MCR) document and provide the directive for all Medicare members.

DESCRIPTION OF PROCEDURE

Coronary computed tomographic angiography (CCTA) is a noninvasive imaging study that uses intravenously administered contrast material and high-resolution, rapid imaging CT equipment to obtain detailed volumetric images of blood vessels. CTA can image blood vessels throughout the body. However, imaging of the coronary vasculature requires shorter image acquisition times to avoid blurring from the motion of the beating heart. The advanced spatial and temporal resolution features of these CT scanning systems offer a unique method for imaging the coronary arteries and the heart in motion, and for detecting arterial calcification that contributes to coronary artery disease.

APPROVAL SUPPORT

Non-emergent chest pain

- Low pretest probability patients who are not suitable for standard exercise stress testing or stress echocardiography.
- Intermediate pretest probability patients who are not suitable for stress echocardiography.

Further evaluation of patients who have had stress testing

- Low or intermediate probability of underlying coronary artery disease (CAD) in patients who have had indeterminate stress testing.
- Low or intermediate probability of underlying CAD in patients who have had positive stress testing and catheterization is not preferred.

- Patients who have persistent symptoms suggestive of underlying coronary disease who have had negative stress testing.

Evaluation of suspected coronary disease

- For evaluation of suspected underlying coronary disease in patients who have had ventricular tachycardia.
- For evaluation of new onset heart failure in patients with low to intermediate probability of underlying coronary disease.

Other

- For evaluation of patients with suspected coronary anomalies.
- For further evaluation when angiography was indeterminate at defining coronary anatomy.
- Localization of coronary bypass grafts and other retrosternal anatomy prior to chest or cardiac surgery.
- Prior to high risk non-cardiac surgery when catheterization is not possible or preferred.
- For evaluation and monitoring of vascular abnormalities (e.g. Kawasaki’s disease, Takayasu’s, vasculitis)
- Pre and post-op evaluation of the pulmonary vein for ablation due to chronic atrial fibrillation.

Contraindications

- Body mass index (BMI) over 40
- Inability to get patient’s heart rate under 65 despite use of beta blockers
- Patients with uncontrolled atrial fibrillation or other arrhythmia
- Patients with extensive coronary calcifications or a coronary calcium score (Agatston score) greater than 1000.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

The above medical necessity recommendations are used to determine the best diagnostic study based on a patient’s specific clinical circumstances. The recommendations were developed using evidence based studies and current accepted clinical practices. Medical necessity will be determined using a combination of these recommendations as well as the patient’s individual clinical or social circumstances.

- Tests that will not change treatment recommendations should not be approved.
- Tests completed recently need a specific reason for repeat

Pretest Probabilities of CAD

Age (Years)	Gender	Typical/Definite Angina Pectoris	Atypical/Probable Angina Pectoris	Nonanginal Chest Pain	Asymptomatic
<39	Men	Intermediate	Intermediate	Low	Very low
	Women	Intermediate	Very low	Very low	Very low

40-49	Men	High	Intermediate	Intermediate	Low
	Women	Intermediate	Low	Very low	Very low
50-59	Men	High	Intermediate	Intermediate	Low
	Women	Intermediate	Intermediate	Low	Very low
>60	Men	High	Intermediate	Intermediate	Low
	Women	High	Intermediate	Intermediate	Low

*Very low.....Less than 5% pretest probability of CAD

*Low.....Less than 10% pretest probability of CAD

*Intermediate.....Between 10% and 90% pretest probability of CAD

*****Duke Treadmill Score**

The equation for calculating the Duke treadmill score (DTS) is:

$DTS = \text{exercise time} - (5 * ST \text{ deviation}) - (4 * \text{exercise angina})$, with 0 = none, 1 = non-limiting, and 2 = exercise-limiting.

The score typically ranges from -25 to +15. These values correspond to low-risk (with a score greater than or equal to +5), intermediate risk (with scores ranging from - 10 to + 4), and high-risk (with a score less than or equal to -11) categories.

The Duke Score provides an annual mortality estimate: <1% for low risk, 1-3% for intermediate risk, and >3% for high risk.

Determinants of a 4 MET functional capacity:

Examples of activities:

- Less than 4 METs: Slow ballroom dancing, golfing with a cart, playing a musical instrument, and walking at approximately 2 mph to 3 mph
- Greater than 4 METs: Climbing a flight of stairs or walking up a hill, walking on level ground at 4 mph, and performing heavy work around the house

CODING INFORMATION: THE CODES LISTED IN THIS POLICY ARE FOR REFERENCE PURPOSES ONLY. LISTING OF A SERVICE OR DEVICE CODE IN THIS POLICY DOES NOT IMPLY THAT THE SERVICE DESCRIBED BY THIS CODE IS COVERED OR NON-COVERED. COVERAGE IS DETERMINED BY THE BENEFIT DOCUMENT. THIS LIST OF CODES MAY NOT BE ALL INCLUSIVE.

CPT	Description
75574	CT (Computed Tomography) Angiography Heart with 3D Image
75574	Coronary CT Angiography (CCTA)

REFERENCES USED FOR DETERMINATIONS

1. Goff DC Jr., Lloyd-Jones DM., Bennett G., Coady S., D'Agostino RB., Gibbons R.... (2013) 2013 ACC/AHA guideline on the assessment of cardiovascular risk: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice

Guidelines. *Circulation* 2014; 129:S49.

http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/circulationaha/129/25_suppl_2/S49.full.pdf

2. ACC/AHA/AATS/PCNA/SCAI/STS 2014 Focused Update of the Guideline for the Diagnosis and Management of Patients With Stable Ischemic Heart Disease A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines, and the American Association for Thoracic Surgery, Preventive Cardiovascular Nurses Association, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 2014, 7, doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2014.07.017. Retrieved from <http://content.onlinejacc.org/article.aspx?articleid=1891717>.
3. American College of Radiology. (2017). ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Retrieved from <https://acsearch.acr.org/list>.
4. NIH Estimate of 10 Year coronary artery disease risk from Framingham Risk Score: Ridker PM, Buring JE, Rifai N, Cook NR. (2007) Development and validation of improved algorithms for the assessment of global cardiovascular risk in women: the Reynolds Risk Score. <http://jama.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?articleid=205528>
5. ERS Task Force, Palange P, Ward SA, Carlsen KH, et al. Recommendations on the use of exercise testing in clinical practice. *Eur Respir J*. 2007;29(1):185-209.
6. Balady GJ, Arena R, Sietsema K, et al; American Heart Association Exercise, Cardiac Rehabilitation, and Prevention Committee of the Council on Clinical Cardiology; Council on Epidemiology and Prevention; Council on Peripheral Vascular Disease; Interdisciplinary Council on Quality of Care and Outcomes Research. Clinician's guide to cardiopulmonary exercise testing in adults: A scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2010;122(2):191-225. Available at: <http://circ.ahajournals.org/cgi/content/full/122/2/191>
7. Budoff MJ, Dowe D, Jollis JG, et al. Diagnostic performance of 64-multidetector row coronary computed tomographic angiography for evaluation of coronary artery stenosis in individuals without known coronary artery disease: results from the prospective multicenter ACCURACY (Assessment by Coronary Computed Tomographic Angiography of Individuals Undergoing Invasive Coronary Angiography) trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2008;52(21):1724-32.
8. Chinnaiyan KM, Raff GL, Goraya T, et al. Coronary computed tomography angiography after stress testing: results from a multicenter, statewide registry, ACIC (Advanced Cardiovascular Imaging Consortium). *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2012; 59(7):688-95.
9. Douglas PS, Hoffmann U, Patel MR, et al; PROMISE Investigators. Outcomes of anatomical versus functional testing for coronary artery disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;372(14):1291-300.
10. Grani C, Buechel RR, Kaufmann PA, Kwong RY. Multimodality Imaging in Individuals With Anomalous Coronary Arteries. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2017;10(4):471-81.

11. Nielsen LH, Ortner N, Norgaard BL, Achenbach S, Leipsic J, Abdulla J. The diagnostic accuracy and outcomes after coronary computed tomography angiography vs. conventional functional testing in patients with stable angina pectoris: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2014;15(9):961-71.
12. Rajani R, Webb J, Marciniak A, Preston R. Comparative efficacy testing - fractional flow reserve by coronary computed tomography for the evaluation of patients with stable chest pain. *Int J Cardiol*. 2015;183:173-7.
13. Ropers D, Moshage W, Daniel WG, Jessl J, Gottwik M, Achenbach S. Visualization of coronary artery anomalies and their anatomic course by contrast-enhanced electron beam tomography and three-dimensional reconstruction. *Am J Cardiol*. 2001;87(2):193-7.
14. Hoffmann U, Ferencik M, Udelson JE, et al. Prognostic Value of Noninvasive Cardiovascular Testing in Patients With Stable Chest Pain: Insights From the PROMISE Trial (Prospective Multicenter Imaging Study for Evaluation of Chest Pain). *Circulation*. 2017;135(24):2320-32.
15. McKavanagh P, Lusk L, Ball PA, et al. A comparison of cardiac computerized tomography and exercise stress electrocardiogram test for the investigation of stable chest pain: the clinical results of the CAPP randomized prospective trial. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2015;16(4):441-8.
16. Min JK, Leipsic J, Pencina MJ, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of fractional flow reserve from anatomic CT angiography. *JAMA*. 2012;308(12):1237-45.
17. Nakanishi R, Budoff MJ. Noninvasive FFR derived from coronary CT angiography in the management of coronary artery disease: technology and clinical update. *Vasc Health Risk Manag*. 2016;12:269-78.
18. Soman P, Truong QA, Udelson JE. Noninvasive testing and imaging for diagnosis in patients at low to intermediate risk for acute coronary syndrome. UpToDate [online serial]. Waltham, MA: UpToDate; reviewed July 2017.
19. Mangold S, Wichmann JL, Schoepf UJ, et al. Coronary CT angiography in obese patients using 3(rd) generation dual-source CT: effect of body mass index on image quality. *Eur Radiol*. 2016;26(9):2937-46.
20. Yang L, Xu L, Schoepf UJ, et al. Prospectively ECG-triggered sequential dual-source coronary CT angiography in patients with atrial fibrillation: Influence of heart rate on image quality and evaluation of diagnostic accuracy. Zhang H, ed. *PLoS ONE*. 2015;10(7):e0134194.
21. Hulten EA. Does FFRct have proven utility as a gatekeeper prior to invasive angiography? *J. Nucl. Cardiol*. 2017;24(5):1619-25.
22. Hoffmann U, Ferencik M, Udelson JE, et al; PROMISE Investigators. Prognostic value of noninvasive cardiovascular testing in patients with stable chest pain: Insights from the PROMISE trial (Prospective Multicenter Imaging Study for Evaluation of Chest Pain). *Circulation*. 2017;135(24):2320-2332.

23. Min JK, Koo BK, Erglis A, et al. Effect of image quality on diagnostic accuracy of noninvasive fractional flow reserve: Results from the prospective multicenter international DISCOVER-FLOW study. *J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr.* 2012;6(3):191-199.
24. Cantoni V, Green R, Acampa W, et al. Long-term prognostic value of stress myocardial perfusion imaging and coronary computed tomography angiography: A meta-analysis. *J Nucl Cardiol.* 2016;23(2):185-197.