

Subject: Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy [MRS] (76390) <i>(re: MCP-063)</i>		Original Effective Date: 12/17/08
Policy Number: MCR-660	Revision Date(s): 6/27/12, 2/12/2015, 10/24/2018	
Review Date: (re: MCP-063) 12/16/15, 9/15/16, 6/22/17, 12/13/18		

DISCLAIMER

This Molina Clinical Policy (MCP) is intended to facilitate the Utilization Management process. It expresses Molina's determination as to whether certain services or supplies are medically necessary, experimental, investigational, or cosmetic for purposes of determining appropriateness of payment. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that this service or supply is covered (i.e., will be paid for by Molina) for a particular member. The member's benefit plan determines coverage. Each benefit plan defines which services are covered, which are excluded, and which are subject to dollar caps or other limits. Members and their providers will need to consult the member's benefit plan to determine if there are any exclusion(s) or other benefit limitations applicable to this service or supply. If there is a discrepancy between this policy and a member's plan of benefits, the benefits plan will govern. In addition, coverage may be mandated by applicable legal requirements of a State, the Federal government or CMS for Medicare and Medicaid members. CMS's Coverage Database can be found on the CMS website. The coverage directive(s) and criteria from an existing National Coverage Determination (NCD) or Local Coverage Determination (LCD) will supersede the contents of this Molina Clinical Policy (MCP) document and provide the directive for all Medicare members.²

DESCRIPTION OF PROCEDURE/SERVICE/PHARMACEUTICAL

Magnetic resonance spectroscopy is a noninvasive diagnostic test that is conducted to measure and analyze the chemical composition of human tissues.¹ MRS is similar to MRI with the exception of using radiofrequency waves that are translated into biochemical composition of the scanned tissue rather than anatomical images. MRS relies on chemicals in the body that emit radiofrequency signals when stimulated by a strong magnetic field. MRS has the potential to provide information to assist in diagnosing pathological states by analyzing the different chemical compounds or metabolites in diseased tissue and comparing these with normal metabolite composition of corresponding tissue. MRS has been most widely studied in identifying brain tumors; specifically in differentiating neoplastic from non-neoplastic, malignant from benign, primary from metastatic, and radiation injury from recurrence. It has also been used as a method for grading tumors and in guiding biopsy to the area of greatest malignancy. Other uses for MRS include chronic pain syndrome, encephalopathy's, neurodegenerative disorders such as Alzheimer's disease, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, parkinson's disease, and Huntington's disease; seizure disorder, traumatic brain injury, inherited disorders and neuropsychiatric disorders among many other oncological and non-oncological conditions.

Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy Systems are approved by the FDA as magnetic resonance diagnostic devices (MRDDs). MRDDs are Class II devices regulated by the FDA. Several have

been approved via the FDA 510(k) process. MRS devices are intended for general diagnostic use to present images which reflect the spatial distribution and/or magnetic resonance spectra which reflect frequency and distribution of nuclei exhibiting nuclear magnetic resonance. ¹

APPROVAL SUPPORT

- To distinguish between recurrent/residual brain tumor vs radiation tumor necrosis.
- To differentiate brain tumor from other non-tumor etiologies whereby the findings will allow for sparing of an invasive procedure.

MRS of the brain is considered investigational for all other indications.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

- The above medical necessity recommendations are used to determine the best diagnostic study based on a patient's specific clinical circumstances. The recommendations were developed using evidence based studies and current accepted clinical practices. Medical necessity will be determined using a combination of these recommendations as well as the patient's individual clinical or social circumstances.
- Tests that will not change treatment plans should not be recommended.
- Same or similar tests recently completed need a specific reason for repeat imaging.

SUMMARY OF MEDICAL EVIDENCE

Neurodegenerative Diseases ^{19-24 38}

There is paucity of peer reviewed literature to confirm the efficacy of MRS use as a diagnostic tool for neurodegenerative disease. To date, no randomized controlled trials have been published on the use of MRS in the evaluation of neurodegenerative disease (i.e. Alzheimer's disease, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, Parkinson's disease, and Huntington's disease). The evidence consists of controlled comparison studies and prospective studies involving a small number of participants that evaluated MRS in patients with neurodegenerative diseases and compared results with another population, which included healthy controls. There is insufficient clinical evidence to determine the clinical roles of MRS and to establish its impact on health outcomes for patients undergoing MRS with neurodegenerative disease. Further clinical trials demonstrating the clinical benefits of MRS are necessary before it can be considered proven for these conditions.

Other Conditions ²⁵⁻³⁶

There is paucity of peer reviewed literature to confirm the efficacy of MRS in the evaluation of any other disease. To date, no randomized controlled trials have been published and the available evidence consists of small comparison studies most with heterogeneous study populations that do not confirm the efficacy of MRS in other conditions. There is no published research data indicating how MRS affects patient management compared to standard clinical assessment, including use of magnetic resonance imaging. There is insufficient clinical evidence

to determine the clinical roles of MRS and to establish its impact on health outcomes for patients undergoing MRS with any other clinical condition including but not limited to epilepsy, psychiatric disorders, chronic pain syndromes, encephalopathy, spinal cord injury, traumatic brain disorder, neurotoxicity, inherited metabolic disorders and prostate cancer. Further clinical trials demonstrating the clinical benefits of MRS are necessary before it can be considered proven for these conditions.

REFERENCES USED FOR DETERMINATIONS

Government Agencies

1. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) [website]. Center for Devices and Radiological Health (CDRH). Accessed at: <http://www.fda.gov/search.html>
2. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. NCD for magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) 220.2.1. Accessed at: <http://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/>
3. Jordan HS, Bert R, Chew P et al. Technology Assessment Report: Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy for brain tumors. Prepared by Tufts-New England Medical Center AHRQ Evidence Based Practice Center. Prepared for Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Accessed at: <http://www.cms.hhs.gov/determinationprocess/downloads/id17TA.pdf> 4/23/2003

Peer Reviewed Publications

4. Floeth FW, Pauleit D, Wittsack et al. Multimodal metabolic imaging of cerebral gliomas: positron emission tomography with [18] fluoroethyl-L-tryosine and magnetic resonance spectroscopy. *Journal of Neurosurgery* 2005;102(2):318-327.
5. Fayed N, Modrego PJ. The contribution of magnetic resonance spectroscopy and echoplanar perfusion-weighted MRI in the initial assessment of brain tumors *Journal Neurooncology* 2005;72(3):261-265.
6. Kim JH, Chang KH, Na DG, et al. 3T ¹H-MR spectroscopy in grading of cerebral gliomas: comparison of short and intermediate echo time sequences. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* 2006;27(7):1412-1418.
7. Setzer M, Herminghaus S, Marquardt G, et al. Diagnostic impact of proton MR-spectroscopy versus image-guided stereotactic biopsy. *Acta Neurochir (Wein).* 2007;149(4):379-385.
8. Zonari P, Baraldi P, Crisi G. Multimodal MRI in the characterization of glial neoplasms: the combined role of single-voxel MR spectroscopy, diffusion imaging and echo-planar perfusion imaging. *Neuroradiology.* 2007;49(10):795-803.
9. Galanaud D, Nicoli F, Chinot O, et al. Noninvasive diagnostic assessment of brain tumors using combined in vivo MR imaging and spectroscopy. *Magn Reson Med.* 2006;55(6):1236-1245
10. Weber MA, Zoubaa S, Schlieter M, et al. Diagnostic performance of spectroscopic and perfusion MRI for distinction of brain tumors. *Neurology.* 2006;66(12):1899-1906.
11. Hollingworth W, Medina LS, Lenkinski RE et al. A Systematic Literature Review of Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy for the Characterization of Brain Tumors. August, 2006. *Am J Neuroradiol* 27:1404 –11
12. Quon H et al. Changes in serial magnetic resonance spectroscopy predict outcome in high-grade glioma during and after postoperative radiotherapy. *Anticancer Res.* 2011 Oct;31(10):3559-65.

13. Guillevin R et al. Predicting the outcome of grade II glioma treated with temozolomide using proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy. *Br J Cancer*. 2011 Jun 7;104(12):1854-61
14. Amin A et al. Glioma residual or recurrence versus radiation necrosis: accuracy of pentavalent technetium-99m-dimercaptosuccinic acid [Tc-99m (V) DMSA] brain SPECT compared to proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy ((1)H-MRS): initial results. *J Neurooncol*. 2012 Feb;106(3):579-87.
15. Tran T, et al. Magnetic resonance spectroscopy in neurological diagnosis. *Neurol Clinics* 2009; 27(1): 21-60.
16. Sibtain NA, et al. The clinical value of proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy in adult brain tumors. *Clin Radiol* 2007; 62(2): 109-19.
17. Vicente, J, Fuster-Garcia, E, Tortajada, S, et al. Accurate classification of childhood brain tumours by in vivo (1)H MRS - a multi-centre study. *Eur J Cancer*. 2013 Feb;49(3):658-67. PMID: 23036849
18. Zhou B(1), Yuan F, He Z, Tan C. Application of proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy on substantia nigra metabolites in Parkinson's disease. *Brain Imaging Behav*. 2014 Mar;8(1):97-101. doi: 10.1007/s11682-013-9251-2.
19. Kantarci K, Lowe V, Przybelski SA, et al. Magnetic resonance spectroscopy, beta-amyloid load, and in a population-based sample of cognitively normal older adults. *Neurology* 2011;77:951-958.
20. Modrego PJ, Fayed N, Sarasa M. Magnetic resonance spectroscopy in the prediction of early conversion from amnesic mild cognitive impairment to dementia: a prospective cohort study. *BMJ Open* 2011;1:e000007.
21. Emir UE(1), Tuite PJ, Öz G. Elevated pontine and putamenal GABA levels in mild-moderate Parkinson disease detected by 7 tesla proton MRS. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(1):e30918. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0030918. Epub 2012 Jan 25.
22. Planetta P.J., Prodoehl J., Corcos D.M., Vaillancourt D.E. *Neurodegenerative Disease Management*. 1 (1) (pp 67-77), 2011. Date of Publication: February 2011. Use of MRI to monitor Parkinson's disease.
23. Saneto RP, Friedman SD, Shaw DW. Neuroimaging of mitochondrial disease. *Mitochondrion* 2008;8:396-413.
24. Alderliesten T, de Vries LS, Benders MJ, Koopman C, Groenendaal F. MR imaging and outcome of term neonates with perinatal asphyxia: value of diffusion-weighted MR imaging and (1)H MR spectroscopy. *Radiology* 2011;261:235-242.
25. Bagory M, Durand-Dubief F, Ibarrola D, et al. Implementation of an absolute brain (1)H-MRS quantification method to assess different tissue alterations in multiple sclerosis. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* 2011.
26. Blinkenberg M, Mathiesen HK, Tscherning T, et al. Cerebral metabolism, magnetic resonance spectroscopy and cognitive dysfunction in early multiple sclerosis: an exploratory study. *Neurol Res* 2012;34:52-58.
27. Hattingen E, Magerkurth J, Pilatus U, Hubers A, Wahl M, Ziemann U. Combined (1)H and (31)P spectroscopy provides new insights into the pathobiochemistry of brain damage in multiple sclerosis. *NMR Biomed* 2011;24:536-546.
28. Brugger S, Davis JM, Leucht S, Stone JM. Proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy and illness stage in schizophrenia--a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Biol Psychiatry* 2011;69:495-503.

29. Yildiz-Yesiloglu A, Ankerst DP. Review of 1H magnetic resonance spectroscopy findings in major depressive disorder: a meta-analysis. *Psychiatry Res* 2006;147:1-25.
30. Tumati, S, Martens, S, Aleman, A. Magnetic resonance spectroscopy in mild cognitive impairment: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Neuroscience and biobehavioral reviews*. 2013 Dec;37(10 Pt 2):2571-86. PMID: 23969177
31. Zimny A, Szmyrka-Kaczmarek M, Szewczyk P, et al. In vivo evaluation of brain damage in the course of systemic lupus erythematosus using magnetic resonance spectroscopy, perfusion-weighted and diffusion-tensor imaging. *Lupus*. 2014;23:10-9. PMID: 24192079
32. Nouredin, M, Lam, J, Peterson, MR, et al. Longitudinal comparison between MRI, MRS and histology-determined steatosis in NAFLD patients at two-time points in a randomized trial. *Hepatology*. 2013 May 20. PMID: 23696515
33. Willmann O, Wennberg R, May T, et al. The role of 1H magnetic resonance spectroscopy in pre-operative evaluation for epilepsy surgery. A meta-analysis. *Epilepsy Res*. 2006 Oct;71(2-3):149-58.
34. Zhang ZX, Yang J, Zhang CZ, et al. The Value of Magnetic Resonance Imaging in the Detection of Prostate Cancer in Patients with Previous Negative Biopsies and Elevated Prostate-specific Antigen Levels: A Meta-analysis. *Acad Radiol*. 2014 May;21(5):578-89.

Hayes

35. Hayes, Inc. Hayes Medical Technology Directory. Proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy for diagnosis of brain tumors. Lansdale, PA: Hayes, Inc.; Feb, 2008; Last updated Feb, 2012
36. Hayes Search & Summary. Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy for Diagnosis of Parkinson’s. Winifred Hayes Inc. Lansdale Pa. November, 2014.

Professional Society

37. ACR–ASNR–SPR Practice parameter for the performance and interpretation of magnetic resonance spectroscopy of the central nervous system (2014), American College of Radiology, American Society of Neuroradiology, and Society for Pediatric Radiology: Accessed at: <http://www.acr.org/~media/b0af516e53234da399ef305525504249.pdf>

CODING INFORMATION: THE CODES LISTED IN THIS POLICY ARE FOR REFERENCE PURPOSES ONLY. LISTING OF A SERVICE OR DEVICE CODE IN THIS POLICY DOES NOT IMPLY THAT THE SERVICE DESCRIBED BY THIS CODE IS COVERED OR NON-COVERED. COVERAGE IS DETERMINED BY THE BENEFIT DOCUMENT. THIS LIST OF CODES MAY NOT BE ALL INCLUSIVE.

	Description
76390	Magnetic resonance spectroscopy