

Subject: CT Pelvis (72192, 7213, 72194)		Original Effective Date: 12/13/17
Policy Number: MCR: 624	Revision Date(s):	
Review Date: 12/13/2017, 12/19/18		

DISCLAIMER

This Molina Clinical Review (MCR) is intended to facilitate the Utilization Management process. It expresses Molina's determination as to whether certain services or supplies are medically necessary, experimental, investigational, or cosmetic for purposes of determining appropriateness of payment. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that this service or supply is covered (i.e., will be paid for by Molina) for a particular member. The member's benefit plan determines coverage. Each benefit plan defines which services are covered, which are excluded, and which are subject to dollar caps or other limits. Members and their providers will need to consult the member's benefit plan to determine if there are any exclusion(s) or other benefit limitations applicable to this service or supply. If there is a discrepancy between this policy and a member's plan of benefits, the benefits plan will govern. In addition, coverage may be mandated by applicable legal requirements of a State, the Federal government or CMS for Medicare and Medicaid members. CMS's Coverage Database can be found on the CMS website. The coverage directive(s) and criteria from an existing National Coverage Determination (NCD) or Local Coverage Determination (LCD) will supersede the contents of this Molina Clinical Review (MCR) document and provide the directive for all Medicare members.

DESCRIPTION OF PROCEDURE/SERVICE/PHARMACEUTICAL

A **computed tomography (CT)** scan of the **pelvis** is an imaging method that uses x-rays to create cross-sectional pictures of the area between the hip bones. Structures inside and near the **pelvis** include the bladder, prostate and other male reproductive organs, female reproductive organs, lymph nodes, and **pelvic** bones.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Chronic Abdominal/Pelvic Pain

The initial evaluation of abdominal pain consists of a detailed history and physical examination, appropriate laboratory studies, and frequently non-advanced imaging such as x-ray or ultrasound. The presence of certain “red flags” may preclude the initial performance of non-advanced imaging. In some cases, endoscopy may be the preferred study.

In children under the age of 14, ultrasound should be the initial study performed for evaluation of abdominal pain.

For the majority of clinical conditions, imaging both the abdomen and pelvis is warranted. Imaging can be limited to part of the abdominal cavity for follow up of specific organs or when the pathology is localized to a particular region of the abdominal cavity. A patient’s exposure to ionized radiation can be limited in these instances.

Male

Location	Recommendations
Generalized	Initial Ultrasound
Right Upper Quadrant	Initial Ultrasound
Left Upper Quadrant	Consider Ultrasound and/or evaluate for possible gastric causes
Left Lower Quadrant	CT if concern for conditions listed below
Right Lower Quadrant	CT if concern for conditions listed below

Female

Location	Recommendations
Generalized	Initial Ultrasound
Right Upper Quadrant	Initial Ultrasound
Left Upper Quadrant	Consider Ultrasound and/or evaluate for possible gastric causes
Left Lower Quadrant	Initial Pelvic Ultrasound
Right Lower Quadrant	Initial Pelvic Ultrasound

Kidney Stones – Suspected (Abdomen/Pelvic CT is recommended)

Flank pain and +/- hematuria

Kidney Stones –Known or follow up (Abdomen/Pelvic CT is recommended)

If initial x-ray (KUB) or ultrasound is indeterminate

Hematuria (Abdomen/Pelvic CT is recommended)

Known tumor or mass (limited to the pelvic cavity only e.g. uterine, ovarian)

- Initial evaluation of a recently diagnosed cancer
- Follow up of a known tumor or mass after completion of treatment or with new signs/symptoms
- Surveillance of a known tumor or mass according to accepted clinical standards.
- For initial staging of prostate cancer with a PSA of 20 or higher or a Gleason score of 7 or higher
- For persistently elevated or rising PSA and negative biopsy

Suspected Tumor or Mass Not Confirmed as Cancer (limited to the pelvic cavity only)

- Evaluation of an abnormality seen on x-ray or other imaging
- Evaluation of an abnormality on physical examination and initial evaluation with x-ray or ultrasound has been completed.
- Suspected tumor based on abnormal laboratory test results (e.g. elevated tumor markers)

Infection Suspected (Abdomen/Pelvic CT is recommended)

- ***Appendicitis***, acute abdominal pain with at least one of the following:
 - Nausea/vomiting
 - Fever of at least 100.3 or higher
 - Abdominal rigidity, guarding/rebound tenderness, or other peritoneal signs
 - Elevated white blood cell count (WBC)

- **Diverticulitis** - *Complication of diverticulitis with severe abdominal tenderness or mass, not responding to antibiotics*
- **Abscess** (*limited to the pelvic cavity only*)
 - Any known infection that is clinically suspected to have created an abscess
 - Re-evaluation of an abscess after treatment

Fistula

Evaluation of a known or suspected fistula (limited to the pelvic cavity only)

Inflammation

- Suspected inflammatory bowel disease (new or recurrent) with abdominal pain, persistent diarrhea or bloody diarrhea (Abdomen/Pelvic CT is recommended)
- CT enterography for evaluation of known inflammatory bowel disease (Abdomen/Pelvic imaging is recommended)

Vascular Disease (aneurysm, etc.) CTA or MRA may be preferred (Abdomen/Pelvic imaging is recommended unless the abnormality is localized to one body region)

- Vascular abnormality seen and indeterminate on other imaging studies
- Aortic Aneurysm and ultrasound is indeterminate or this is for preoperative planning
- Follow up after endograph repair and CTA is not also ordered

Trauma

Suspected abdominal or retroperitoneal hemorrhage (limited to the pelvic cavity only)

Evaluation of Known or Suspected Fractures

- Suspected fracture and x-ray is non-diagnostic

Weight Loss (Abdomen/Pelvic imaging is recommended)

- Loss of 5% of body weight persisting for 6 months with initial evaluation of a chest x-ray, ultrasound, laboratory testing including TSH, and colon cancer screening (if over 50 years old) completed
- Loss of 10% of body weight in less than 2 months with at least one MD visit documenting weight loss

Pre/Post Procedural (limited to the pelvic cavity only)

- Pre-operative evaluation
- Post-operative for routine recommended follow up or for potential post-operative complications.
- A repeat study may be needed to help evaluate a patient's progress after treatment procedure intervention or surgery. The reason for the repeat study and that it will affect care must be clear.

Other

- Evaluation of an abnormality seen on other imaging and the diagnosis remains uncertain
- For evaluation of a suspected inguinal hernia and ultrasound is non-diagnostic
- **High Risk** - Any patient over 75 y/o or diabetic with persisting pain (not intermittent only) (Abdomen/Pelvic imaging is recommended)
- Suspected sacroiliitis (infectious or inflammatory) after completion of initial x-ray and MRI is contraindicated.
- Suspected osteomyelitis and initial x-ray has been completed and MRI is contraindicated

- Evaluation of suspected avascular necrosis (AVN) when initial x-ray is non-diagnostic and MRI is contraindicated

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

The above medical necessity recommendations are used to determine the best diagnostic study based on a patient's specific clinical circumstances. The recommendations were developed using evidence based studies and current accepted clinical practices. Medical necessity will be determined using a combination of these recommendations as well as the patient's individual clinical or social circumstances.

- Tests that will not change treatment plans should not be recommended.
- Same or similar tests recently completed need a specific reason for repeat imaging.

REFERENCES USED FOR DETERMINATIONS

1. UpToDate, Diagnostic approach to abdominal pain in adults, <https://sites.ualberta.ca/~loewen/Medicine/GIM%20Residents%20Core%20Reading/ACUTE%20&%20CHRONIC%20ABDO%20PAIN/Diagnostic%20approach%20to%20abdo%20pain.htm>
2. American College of Radiology. (2014). ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Retrieved from <https://acsearch.acr.org/list>.
3. American Urological Association Education and Research, Inc. (2007). Prostate Cancer Guideline for the Management of Clinically Localized Prostate Cancer. Retrieved from <http://xa.yimg.com/kq/groups/21789480/1752048018/name/2007+Guideline+for+the+treatment+of+localized+prostate+cancer.pdf>
4. Jaffe, T.A., Gaca, A.M., Delaney, S., Yoshizumi, T.T., Toncheva, G., Nguyen, G., & Frush, D.P. (2007). Radiation doses from small-bowel follow through and abdominopelvic MDCT in Crohn's disease
5. Hirsch, A.T., Haskal, Z.J., Hertzner, N.R., Bakal, C.W., Creager, M.A., Halperin, J.L, Roegel, B. (2006). ACC/AHA 2005 guidelines for the management of patients with peripheral arterial disease (lower extremity, renal, mesenteric, and abdominal aortic): executive summary a collaborative report from the American Association for Vascular Surgery/Society for Vascular Surgery, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society for Vascular Medicine and Biology, Society of Interventional Radiology, and the ACC/AHA Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Writing Committee to Develop Guidelines for the Management of Patients With Peripheral Arterial Disease) endorsed by the American Association of Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Rehabilitation; National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; Society for Vascular Nursing; TransAtlantic Inter-Society Consensus; and Vascular Disease Foundation. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 47(6):1239-312. Retrieved from <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16545667>.
6. Israel G.M., Francis I.R., Roach M. III, Abdel-Wahab M, Casalino, D.D., Ciezki, J.P., . . . Sheth, S. (2009). Expert Panel on Urologic Imaging and Radiation Oncology-Prostate. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® pretreatment staging prostate cancer. American College of Radiology (ACR). Retrieved from <http://www.guidelines.gov/content.aspx?id=15768>
7. Fenlon HM, Nunes DP, Schroy PC III, et al. A comparison of virtual and conventional colonoscopy for the detection of colorectal polyps. *N Engl J Med.* 1999; 341:1496-1503.
8. Bouras EP, Lange SM and Scolapio JS. Rational approach to patients with unintentional weight loss. *Mayo Clin Proc,* 2001; 76:923-929.
9. McNamara MM, Lalani T, Camacho MA, Carucci LR, Cash BD, Feig BW, Fowler KJ, Katz DS, Kim DH, Smith MP, Tulchinsky M, Yaghmai V, Yee J, Rosen MP, Expert Panel on Gastrointestinal Imaging. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® left lower quadrant pain --

suspected diverticulitis [online publication]. American College of Radiology (ACR); 2014. 7p.<https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69356/Narrative/>

10. Fulgham PF, Assimos DG, Pearle MS, Preminger GM. Clinical effectiveness protocols for imaging in the management of ureteral calculus disease: AUA technology assessment. American Urological Association Guideline, 2012.
11. Lakkaraju A, Sinha R, Garikipati R, Edward S, Robinson P. Ultrasound for initial evaluation and triage of clinically suspicious soft-tissue masses. *Clin Radiol*, 2009; 64: 615-621.
12. Cohen RA, Brown RS. Microscopic hematuria. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 2003, 348, 2330-2338.
13. Sakhel K, Benson CB, Platt LD, Goldstein SR, Benacerraf BR. Begin with the basics. Role of 3-dimensional sonography as a first-line imaging technique in the cost-effective evaluation of gynecologic pelvic disease. *J Ultrasound Med*.2013; 32:381-388.
14. Kinkel K, Frei, KA, Ballevguier C, Chapron C. Diagnosis of endometriosis with imaging: a review. *European Radiology*, 2006; 16(2), 285-98.
14. 15. Martin SG MD, Chen RC MD, et al. Clinically Localized Prostate Cancer: AUA/Astro/SUO Guideline.
15. Fulgham PF, Assimos DG, Pearle MS, Preminger GM. Clinical effectiveness protocols for imaging in the management of ureteral calculus disease: AUA technology assessment.
16. Bjurlin MA DO, Carroll PR MD, et al. MRI of the Prostate, Standard Operating Procedure (SOP). American Urological Association Guideline
17. Fulgham PF, Rukstalis DB, et al. AUA Policy Statement on the Use of Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging in the Diagnosis, Staging and Management of Prostate Cancer. *The Journal of Urology*,

CODING INFORMATION: THE CODES LISTED IN THIS POLICY ARE FOR REFERENCE PURPOSES ONLY. LISTING OF A SERVICE OR DEVICE CODE IN THIS POLICY DOES NOT IMPLY THAT THE SERVICE DESCRIBED BY THIS CODE IS COVERED OR NON-COVERED. COVERAGE IS DETERMINED BY THE BENEFIT DOCUMENT. THIS LIST OF CODES MAY NOT BE ALL INCLUSIVE.

CPT	Description
72192	CT (Computed Tomography) Pelvis without contrast)
72193	CT (Computed Tomography) Pelvis with contrast)
72194	CT (Computed Tomography) Pelvis without and with contrast)